

## FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITIES FOR CERTAIN CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS OF COMPLEX ORDER DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION

R. M. EL-ASHWAH, M. K. AOUF AND F. M. ABDULKAREM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain Fekete-Szegő inequalities for a certain class of analytic functions

$f(z)$  for which  $1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] \prec \varphi(z)$  ( $b \neq 0$ , complex,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers). Sharp bounds for the Fekete-Szegő functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$  are obtained.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denoted the class of functions  $f(z)$  which are analytic in the open unit disc  $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$  of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the family of functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ , which are univalent. A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$  is said to be starlike of order  $\rho$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$ , if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1; z \in U). \quad (1.2)$$

A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$  is said to be convex of order  $\rho$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$ , if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1; z \in U). \quad (1.3)$$

The classes  $\mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$  were defined by Robertson [29]. From (1.2) and (1.3) it follows that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\rho) \Leftrightarrow z f'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\rho). \quad (1.4)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45, 30C45, 30C50.

*Key words and phrases.* Analytic functions, starlike and convex functions, Fekete-Szegő problem, subordination.

A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$  is said to be close-to-convex of order  $\rho$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(\rho)$  if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right\} > \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1; g \in \mathcal{K}; z \in U), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(0) = \mathcal{C}$  (see Kaplan [11]).

We note that:

$$\mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^* \text{ and } \mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

In Bieberbach [6] proved that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq 1$ .

A classical theorem of Fekete-Szegö [9] states that, for  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$  given by (1.1),

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq 1 + 2 \exp \left( \frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu} \right) \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, \quad (1.6)$$

holds for any normalized univalent function of the form (1.1) in the open unit disc  $U$  and for  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ . This inequality is sharp for each  $\mu$  (see [9]). The coefficient functional

$$\phi_\mu(f) = a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{1}{6} \left( f'''(0) - \frac{3\mu}{2} [f''(0)] \right), \quad (1.7)$$

on normalized analytic functions in  $U$  represents various geometric quantities for example, when  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\phi_1(f) = a_3 - a_2^2$ , becomes  $\mathcal{S}_f(0)/6$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_f$  denoted the Schwarzian derivative  $(f''/f')' - (f''/f')^2/2$  of locally univalent functions in  $U$ . In literature, there exists a large number of results about inequalities for  $\phi_\mu(f)$  corresponding to various subclass of  $\mathcal{S}$ . The problem of maximising the absolute value of the functional is called the Fekete-Szegö problem (see [9] and [13]), Koepf [14], solved the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions and the largest real number  $\mu$  for which  $\phi_\mu(f)$  is maximised by the Koebe function  $z/(1-z)^2$  is  $\mu = 1/3$  (see [14] and [19]), this result was generalized for functions that are close-to-convex.

Given two functions  $f$  and  $g$ , which are analytic in  $U$ , we say that the function  $f(z)$  is subordinate to  $g(z)$  in  $U$  and write  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , if there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$ , analytic in  $U$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  ( $z \in U$ ). Indeed it is known that  $f(z) \prec g(z) \Rightarrow f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(U) \subset g(U)$ .

In particular, if  $g(z)$  is univalent function in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U) \quad (\text{see [21]}).$$

Let  $\varphi(z)$  be an analytic function with positive real part on  $U$  satisfies  $\varphi(0) = 1$  and  $\varphi'(0) > 0$  which maps  $U$  onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let  $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  be the class of functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$  for which

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.8)$$

and  $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$  be the class of functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$  for which

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.9)$$

The classes of  $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$  were introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [20]. The class  $\mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$  of starlike functions of order  $\rho$  and the class  $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$  of convex functions of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) are the special cases of  $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ , respectively, when  $\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\rho)z}{1 - z}$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ).

In [1] Ali et al. introduced the class  $\mathcal{M}(\gamma, \varphi)$  of  $\gamma$ -convex function with respect to  $\varphi$  consisting of functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying

$$\left[ (1 - \gamma) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \prec \varphi(z) (\gamma \geq 0).$$

For related results, refer ([1], [2], [3], [26], [27], [32]) and the references cited therein. Obradović [25] introduced the class of functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying

$$\Re \left\{ f'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\lambda+1} \right\} > 0 \quad (0 < \lambda < 1).$$

Tuneksi and Darus [31] obtained Fekete-Szegö inequality for the class of functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfying

$$\Re \left\{ f'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\lambda+1} \right\} > \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1; 0 < \lambda < 1).$$

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f(z)$ , given by (1.1) and

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} g_k z^k, \quad h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_k z^k, \tag{1.10}$$

are defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k g_k z^k = (g * f)(z)$$

and

$$(f * h)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k h_k z^k = (h * f)(z).$$

**Definition 1.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers and  $b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . The class  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}^b(g, h, \varphi)$  consists of all analytic functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfyies

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] \prec \varphi(z), \quad g_k > 0, \quad h_k > 0, \quad \alpha g_k + \beta h_k > 0. \tag{1.11}$$

We note that for suitable choices of  $g, h, \alpha, \beta, b$  and  $\varphi(z)$ , we obtain the following subclasses:

**Remark 1.** (i)  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}^1(g, h, \varphi) = \mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi)$  (see Kumar and Kumar [15]);  
 (ii)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^1(g, h, \varphi) = \mathcal{M}_{g,h}(\varphi) (g_k - h_k > 0)$  (see Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [22]);  
 (iii)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^1\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{(1-z)}, \varphi\right) = \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^1\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \varphi\right) = \mathcal{K}(\varphi)$  (see Ma and Minda [20]);

- (iv)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^1\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}^*$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^1\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{K}$  (see Robertson [29]);
- (v)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^{1-\rho}\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^{1-\rho}\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{K}(\rho)$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) (see Robertson [29]);
- (vi)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^b\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \varphi\right) = \mathcal{S}_b^*(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^b\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \varphi\right) = \mathcal{C}_b(\varphi)$  (see Ravichandran et al. [28]);
- (vii)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-(\lambda+1)}^1\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{M}(\lambda)$  ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ) (see Obradović [25]);
- (viii)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^b\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}(b)$  ( $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) (see Nasr and Aouf [24], see also Aouf et al. [4]);
- (ix)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^b\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{C}(b)$  ( $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) (see Waitrowski [32], see also Nasr and Aouf [23]);
- (x)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^{\cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}^\eta$  ( $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) (see Spacek [30]);
- (xi)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^{(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{S}^\eta(\rho)$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) (see Keogh and Merkes [12], see also Libera [16], [17]);
- (xii)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^{(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) = \mathcal{C}^\eta(\rho)$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) (see Chichra [7]);
- (xiii)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^b\left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} z^k, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k, \varphi\right) = \mathcal{H}_{n,b}(\varphi)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) (see Aouf and Silverman [5]);
- (xiv)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^b\left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k, \varphi\right) = \mathcal{G}_{\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \rho}^{n,b}(\varphi)$  ( $\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \rho > 0$ ,  $\gamma > \delta$ ,  $\lambda > \rho$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) (see Aouf et al. [3]);
- (xv)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^1\left(z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \left[\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)}\right]^m C(n, k) z^k, z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-1)}{1+\lambda_2(k-1)}\right]^m C(n, k) z^k, \varphi\right) = \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{n,m}(\varphi)$  ( $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$ ) (see Eljamal and Darus [8]);
- (xvi)  $\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^1\left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k, z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k, \varphi\right) = \mathcal{M}_{\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \rho}^n(\varphi)$  ( $\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \rho > 0$ ,  $\gamma > \delta$ ,  $\lambda > \rho$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) (see Ramadan and Darus [26]).

Also, we note that:

**Remark 2.** (i) Putting  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and  $b = \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$  ( $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{1,1}^{\cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}(g, h, \varphi) &= \mathcal{M}^\eta(g, h, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\eta} \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right) \left( \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right) - i \sin \eta}{\cos \eta} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Putting  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and  $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{1,1}^{(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}(g, h, \varphi) &= \mathcal{M}_{\rho}^{\eta}(g, h, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\eta} \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right) \left( \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right) - \rho \cos \eta - i \sin \eta}{(1 - \rho) \cos \eta} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \rho < 1; |\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Putting  $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}(g, h, \varphi) &= \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta,\rho}^{\eta}(g, h, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\eta} \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right)^{\beta} - \rho \cos \eta - i \sin \eta}{(1 - \rho) \cos \eta} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}; 0 \leq \rho < 1; |\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Putting  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = -1$  and  $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{1,-1}^{(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}(g, h, \varphi) &= \mathcal{N}_{\rho}^{\eta}(g, h, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\eta} \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{(f * h)(z)} \right) - \rho \cos \eta - i \sin \eta}{(1 - \rho) \cos \eta} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

(v) Putting  $\beta = 0$  and  $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,0}^{(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}(g, h, \varphi) &= \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\rho}^{\eta}(g, h, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\eta} \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha} - \rho \cos \eta - i \sin \eta}{(1 - \rho) \cos \eta} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}; 0 \leq \rho < 1; |\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\};$$

(vi) Putting  $\alpha = 0$  and  $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{0,\beta}^{(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}}(g, h, \varphi) &= \mathcal{M}_{\beta,\rho}^{\eta}(g, h, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{e^{i\eta} \left( \frac{(f*h)(z)}{z} \right)^{\beta} - \rho \cos \eta - i \sin \eta}{(1-\rho) \cos \eta} \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\beta \in \mathbb{R}; 0 \leq \rho < 1; |\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; z \in U) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we obtain the Fekete-Szegő inequalities for functions in the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}^b(g, h, \varphi)$ .

## 2. FEKETE-SZEGŐ PROBLEM

To prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** [[12], [18]]. *If  $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ , is a function with positive real part in  $U$  and  $\mu$  is a complex number, then*

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |2\mu - 1|\}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

**Lemma 2.** [20]. *If  $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ , is a function with positive real part in  $U$ , then*

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2 & \text{if } \nu \leq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\ 4\nu - 2 & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When  $\nu < 0$  or  $\nu > 1$ , the equality holds if and only if  $p_1(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$  or one of its rotations. If  $0 < \nu < 1$ , then the equality holds if and only if  $p_2(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$  or one of its rotations. If  $\nu = 0$ , the equality holds if and only if

$$p_3(z) = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma \right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma \right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1),$$

or one of its rotations. If  $\nu = 1$ , the equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p_4(z)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1).$$

or one of its rotations. Also the above upper bound is sharp and it can be improved as follows when  $0 < \nu < 1$ :

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad (0 < \nu \leq \frac{1}{2}),$$

and

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1 - \nu) |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad (\frac{1}{2} < \nu < 1).$$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots, B_1 > 0$ . If  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}^b(g, h, \varphi)$  and  $\mu$  is a complex number, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1 |b|}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| -\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[(\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]b}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} B_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

The result is sharp.

*Proof.* If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}^b(g, h, \varphi)$ , then there is a Schwarz function  $w(z)$  in  $U$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $U$  and such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi(w(z)). \quad (2.2)$$

Define the function  $p_1(z)$  by

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Since  $w(z)$  is a Schwarz function, we see that  $\Re \{p_1(z)\} > 0$  and  $p_1(0) = 1$ . Define

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left( \frac{(f * g)(z)}{z} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{(f * h)(z)}{z} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (2.4)$$

In view of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$p(z) = \varphi \left( \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

Since

$$\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_1 z + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \left( c_3 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} - c_1 c_2 \right) z^3 + \dots \right].$$

Therefore, we have

$$\varphi \left( \frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1 z + \left[ \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots, \quad (2.6)$$

and from this equation and (2.4), we obtain

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1,$$

and

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{2}B_1\left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2.$$

A computation shows that

$$\left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z}\right)^\alpha = 1 + \alpha a_2 g_2 z + \left(\alpha a_3 g_3 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} a_2^2 g_2^2\right) z^2 + \dots,$$

and

$$\left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^\beta = 1 + \beta a_2 h_2 z + \left(\alpha a_3 h_3 + \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2} a_2^2 h_2^2\right) z^2 + \dots$$

Substituting these in (2.4) and comparing coefficients, we have

$$bb_1 = (\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)a_2, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$bb_2 = (\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)a_3 + [(\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2)] \frac{a_2^2}{2} \quad (2.8)$$

or, equivalently, we have

$$a_2 = \frac{B_1 c_1 b}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 [2(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2)B_1 + B_2c_1^2]b - [(\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2)B_2c_1^2]b^2}{8(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{B_1 b}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2], \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[(\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3))b]B_1}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} \right]. \quad (2.12)$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1. The result is sharp for the functions

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi(z^2), \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi(z). \quad (2.14)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$



**Remark 3.** (i) Taking  $b = 1$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Kumer and Kumer [15], Theorem 2.4];

(ii) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, g = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$  and  $h = \frac{z}{(1-z)}$  in Theorem 1, we improve the result obtained by Ravichandran et al. [24], Theorem 4.1];

(iii) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, g = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} z^k$  and  $h = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf and Silverman [5], Theorem 1];

(iv) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, g = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k$  and  $h = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k (\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \rho > 0, \gamma > \delta, \lambda > \rho, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, b \in \mathbb{C}^*)$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al. [3], Theorem 1];

(v) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta} (0 \leq \rho < 1, |\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}), g = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$  and  $h = \frac{z}{(1-z)}$  in Theorem 1, we the result obtained by Keogh and Merkes [14], Theorem 1];

(vi) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, g = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} z^k$  and  $h = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Goyal and Kumar [10], Corollary 2.10].

Also by specializing the parameters in Theorem 1, we obtain the following new sharp results.

Putting  $\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1), B_1 = A - B$  and  $B_2 = -B(A - B)$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** If  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}^b(g, h, \varphi)$  and  $\mu$  is a complex number, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A - B) |b|}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} \max \left\{ 1, |B + \frac{[(\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3))]}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} (A - B)b \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Putting  $b = \cos \eta e^{-i\eta} (|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2})$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.** If  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}^\eta(g, h, \varphi)$  and  $\mu$  is a complex number, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1 \cos \eta}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| -\frac{B_2}{B_1} e^{i\eta} + \frac{[(\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3))]}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} B_1 \cos \eta \right| \right\}.$$

The result is sharp.

Putting  $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta} (0 \leq \rho < 1, |\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2})$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.** *If  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta,\rho}^\eta(g, h, \varphi)$  and  $\mu$  is a complex number, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1(1-\rho)\cos\eta}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| -\frac{B_2}{B_1} e^{i\eta} + \frac{[(\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} B_1(1-\rho)\cos\eta \right| \right\}.$$

*The result is sharp.*

Putting  $\alpha = b = 1$  and  $\beta = -1$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.** *If  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}(\varphi)$  and  $\mu$  is a complex number, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2(g_3 - h_3)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| -\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[-h_2^2 - g_2 h_2 + \mu(g_3 - \beta h_3)]}{(g_2 - h_2)^2} B_1 \right| \right\}.$$

*The result is sharp.*

Using Lemma 2, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ , ( $B_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{N}, b > 0$ ). If  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta}^b(g, h, \varphi)$  and  $\mu$  is a real number, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1 \zeta b}{(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1, \\ \frac{B_1 b}{(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2, \\ \frac{-B_1 \zeta b}{(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\zeta = \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{[(\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2) + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} B_1 b,$$

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{2(B_2 - B_1)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 - [(\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2)] B_1^2 b}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3) B_1^2 b}, \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{2(B_2 + B_1)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 - [(\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2)] B_1^2 b}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3) B_1^2 b}. \quad (2.17)$$

*The result is sharp.*

*Proof.* To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the functions  $K_{\varphi n}(z)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left( \frac{(K_{\varphi n} * g)(z)}{z} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{(K_{\varphi n} * h)(z)}{z} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi(z^{n-1}), \quad K_{\varphi n}(0) = 0 = K'_{\varphi n}(0) - 1,$$

and the functions  $F_\eta(z)$  and  $G_\eta(z)$  ( $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left( \frac{(F_\eta * g)(z)}{z} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{(F_\eta * h)(z)}{z} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi \left( \frac{z(z + \eta)}{1 + \eta z} \right), \quad F_\eta(0) = 0 = F'_\eta(0) - 1,$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \left( \frac{(G_\eta * g)(z)}{z} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{(G_\eta * h)(z)}{z} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] = \varphi \left( -\frac{z(z + \eta)}{1 + \eta z} \right), \quad G_\eta(0) = 0 = G'_\eta(0) - 1.$$

Clearly the functions  $K_{\varphi n}(z), F_\eta(z)$  and  $G_\eta(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}^b(g, h, \varphi)$ . Also we write  $K_\varphi = K_{\varphi 2}$ .

If  $\mu < \sigma_1$  or  $\mu > \sigma_2$ , then the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is  $K_\varphi$  or one of its rotations. When  $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$ , then the equality holds if  $f$  is  $K_{\varphi 3}$  or one of its rotations. If  $\mu = \sigma_1$ , then the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is  $F_\eta$  or one of its rotations. If  $\mu = \sigma_2$ , then the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is  $G_\eta$  or one of its rotations. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** (i) Taking  $b = 1$  in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Kumer and Kumer [[15], Theorem 2.1];

(ii) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, g = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty k^{n+1} z^k$  and  $h = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty k^n z^k$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf and Silverman [[5], Theorem 2];

(iii) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, g = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty k[(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k$  and  $h = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty [(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k$  ( $\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \rho > 0, \gamma > \delta, \lambda > \rho, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al. [[3], Theorem 2];

(iv) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1, b = 1, g = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty k \left[ \frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right]^m C(n, k) z^k$  and  $h = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \left[ \frac{1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(k-1)}{1 + \lambda_2(k-1)} \right]^m C(n, k) z^k$  ( $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$ ) in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Eljamal and Darus [[8], Theorem 2.1];

(v) Taking  $\alpha = 1, \beta = -1$  and  $b = 1$  in Theorem 2, we the result obtained by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [[22], Theorem 2.1]).

Also, using Lemma 2, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots, (B_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{N}, b > 0)$  and

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{2B_2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 - [(\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2)]B_1^2 b}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)B_1^2 b}.$$

If  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) belongs to the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta}^b(g, h, \varphi)$  and  $\mu$  is a real number, then we have

(i) If  $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + R_1 \leq \frac{bB_1}{(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)},$$

where

$$R_1 = \frac{2(B_1 - B_2)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 + [(\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3))]B_1^2 b}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)bB_1^2} |a_2|^2.$$

(ii) If  $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + R_2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)},$$

where

$$R_2 = \frac{2(B_1 + B_2)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 + [(\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]B_1^2 b}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)bB_1^2} |a_2|^2,$$

where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are given by (2.16) and (2.17).

**Remark 5.** (i) Taking  $b = 1$  in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Kumer and Kumer [15], Remark 2.2];

(ii) Taking  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = -1$ ,  $g = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} z^k$  and  $h = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n z^k$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf and Silverman [5], Theorem 2];

(iii) Taking  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = -1$ ,  $g = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k[(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k$  and  $h = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \rho)(\gamma - \delta)(k - 1) + 1]^n z^k$  ( $\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \rho > 0$ ,  $\gamma > \delta$ ,  $\lambda > \rho$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al. [3], Theorem 3];

(iv) Taking  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = -1$  and  $b = 1$  in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [22], Theorem 2.1].

**Remark 6.** Specializing the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $b$ , we obtain results corresponding to the classes  $\mathcal{M}^\eta(g, h, \varphi)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\rho^\eta(g, h, \varphi)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \beta, \rho}^\eta(g, h, \varphi)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_\rho^\eta(g, h, \varphi)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \rho}^\eta(g, h, \varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\beta, \rho}^\eta(g, h, \varphi)$ , mentioned in the introduction.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, The Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., 35 (2009), 119–142.
- [2] R.M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Coefficient bounds for  $p$ -valent functions, Appl. Math. Comput., 187 (2007), 35–46.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, R. M. EL-Ashwah, A. A. M. Hassan and A. H. Hassan, Fekete–Szegő problem for a new class of analytic functions defined by using a generalized differential operator, Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc. Mathematic, 52 (2013), no. 1, 21–34.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, S. Owa and M. Obradović, Certain classes of analytic functions of complex order and type beta, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) 11, 4 (1991), 691–714.
- [5] M. K. Aouf, H. Silverman, Fekete–Szegő inequality for  $n$ -starlike functions of complex order. Adv. Math. Sci. J. (2008), 1–12.
- [6] L. Bieberbach, Über die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, Welche eine Schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises Vermitteln, Preuss, Akad. Wiss. Stizungsab., 138 (1916), 940–955.
- [7] P. N. Chichra, Regular functions  $f(z)$  for which  $zf'(z)$  is  $\alpha$ -spirallike, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1975), 151–160.
- [8] E. A. Eljamal and M. Darus, Fekete–Szegő problem for certain subclass of analytic functions, Internat. J. Pure Appl. Math., 71 (2011), no. 4, 571–580.
- [9] M. Fekete and G. Szegő, Eine bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte funktionen, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 8 (1933), 85–89.
- [10] S. P. Goyal and S. Kumar, Fekete-Szegő problem for a class of complex order related to Salagean operator, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., 4 (2011), no. 3, 240–246.
- [11] W. Kaplan, Close-to-convex schlicht functions, Michigan Math. J., 1 (1952), 169–195.
- [12] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 8–12.W.
- [13] Koepf, On the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 101 (1987), no. 1, 89–95.W.
- [14] Koepf, On the Fekete-Szegő problem for close-to-convex functions. II, Archiv der Mathematik, 49 (1987), no. 5, 420–433.
- [15] S. S. Kumar and V. Kumar, Fekete-Szegő problem for a class of analytic functions defined by convolution, Tamkang J. Math., 44 (2013), no. 2, 187–195.
- [16] R. J. Libera, Some radius of convexity problems, Duke Math. J., 31 (1964), 143–157.
- [17] R. J. Libera, Univalent  $\alpha$ -spirallike functions, Canad. J. Math., 19 (1967), 449–456.

- [18] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in  $\rho$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 87 (1983), no. 2, 251–257.
- [19] R. R. London, Fekete-Szegő inequalities for close-to-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 117 (1993), no. 4, 947–950.
- [20] W. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in Proceedings of the conference on complex analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Lang and S. Zhang (Eds.), Int. Press (1994), 157–169
- [21] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 255, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [22] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, S. Kavitha and T. Rosy, On the Fekete-Szegő problem for some subclasses of analytic functions defined by convolution, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. 44 (2007), 249–259.
- [23] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, On convex functions of complex order, Mansoura Sci. Bull, Egypt, 9 (1982), 565–582.
- [24] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, Starlike functions of complex order, J. Natur. Sci. Math., 25 (1985), 1–12.
- [25] M. Obradović, A class of univalent functions, Hokkaido Math. J. 27 (1998), 329–335.
- [26] S. F. Ramadan, , M. Darus, On the Fekete Szegő inequality for a class of analytic functions defined by using generalized differential operator. Acta Univ. Apulensis 26 (2011), 167–178
- [27] V. Ravichandran, M. Darus, M. Hussain Khan and K. G. Subramanian, Fekete-Szegő inequality for certain class of analytic functions, Austral. J. Math. Anal., 1 (2004), no. 2, Art. 4, 1–7.
- [28] V. Ravichandran, Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal and A. Sen, Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat., 34 (2005), 9–15.
- [29] M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math., 37 (1936), 374–408.
- [30] L. Spacek, Príspevek k teorii funkcií prostých, Casopis pest. Math. Fys. 62 (1933), 12–19.
- [31] N. Tuneski and M. Darus, Fekete-Szegő functional for non-Bazilevič functions, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N. S.) 18 (2002), 63–65.
- [32] P. Waitrowski, The coefficients of a certain family of holomorphic functions, Zeszyty Nauk. Univ. Lodzk. Nauk. Math. Przyrod. Ser. II, Zeszyt Math., 39 (1971), 75–85.

R. M. EL-ASHWAH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF DAMIETTA, NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT  
*E-mail address:* r.elashwah@yahoo.com

M. K. AOUF, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT  
*E-mail address:* mkaouf127@yahoo.com

F. M. ABDULKAREM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF DAMIETTA, NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT  
*E-mail address:* fammari76@gmail.com