

Q-SHIFT DIFFERENCE-DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS SHARING A SMALL FUNCTION

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE, MANJUNATH B. E.

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we deal with the uniqueness problem of q-shift difference-differential polynomials $F = (P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^k$ and $G = (P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^k$ where $P(z)$ is a polynomial with constant coefficients of degree n sharing small function. The results of this paper are an extension of the previous theorems given by N. V. Thin[7].

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In what follows by a meromorphic function we mean that the function has poles as its singularities only in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , we assume that the reader is familiar with standard notations such as $T(r, f)$, $m(r, f)$, $N(r, f)$ ([4], [10], [9]) and $S(r, f)$ denotes any quantity that satisfies the condition $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure. A meromorphic function $a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$ defined in \mathbb{C} is called a small function with respect to f if $T(r, a(z)) = S(r, f)$. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . We say that f, g share a counted multiplicities (CM) if $f - a, g - a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities and we say that f, g share a ignoring multiplicities (IM) if we do not consider the multiplicities, where a is a small function of f and g . Let a be a finite complex number, and k a positive integer. We denote by $N_{(k)}(r, a, f)$ the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicities atleast k , and by $\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, a, f)$ the one for which multiplicity is not counted. Similarly, we denote by $N_{(k)}(r, a, f)$ the counting function for zeros of $f - a$ with multiplicities atmost k , and by $\overline{N}_{(k)}(r, a, f)$ the one for which multiplicity is not counted. Then

$$N_{(k)}(r, a, f) = \overline{N}_{(1)}(r, a, f) + \overline{N}_{(2)}(r, a, f) + \dots + \overline{N}_{(k)}(r, a, f).$$

We denote and define order of $f(z)$ by

$$\rho(f) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic(Entire) function, Differential-difference polynomial, sharing value, weighted sharing.

Submitted Jan. 31, 2022. Revised March 10, 2022.

If a non-constant meromorphic function $f(z)$ is of zero order, then $\rho(f) = 0$.

In 2015, Zhao and Zhang[12] proved the following results.

Theorem A. [12] *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental entire functions of zero order and let n, k be positive integers. If $n > 2k + 5$, then $(f^n f(qz + c))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n g(qz + c))^{(k)}$ share z or 1 CM, then $f = tg$ for a constant t with $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

Theorem B. [12] *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental entire functions of zero order and let n, k be positive integers. If $n > 5k + 11$, then $(f^n f(qz + c))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n g(qz + c))^{(k)}$ share z or 1 IM, then $f = tg$ for a constant t with $t^{n+1} = 1$.*

In 2017, Thin[7] proved the following theorems for meromorphic functions.

Theorem C. [7] *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental meromorphic (resp. entire) functions of zero order, q and c be a complex constants, $q \neq 0$, k be a positive integer, $a(z) \not\equiv 0$ be a meromorphic (resp. entire) small function and let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a nonconstant polynomial with constant coefficients $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n (\neq 0)$ and m be the number of the distinct zeros of $P(z)$. If $n \geq 2m(K + 1) + 2k + 6$ (resp. $n \geq 2m(k + 1) + 4$) and $(P(f(z))f(qz + c))^{(k)}$ and $(P(g(z))g(qz + c))^{(k)}$ share $a(z), \infty$ - CM, then one of the following two results holds:*

- (1) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = LCM(\lambda_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$ denotes the lowest common multiple of $\lambda_j (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$, and

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} j + 1 & \text{if } a_j \neq 0, \\ n + 1 & \text{if } a_j = 0, \end{cases}$$

- (2) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)w_1(qz + c) - P(w_2)w_2(qz + c).$$

Theorem D. [7] *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental meromorphic functions of zero order, q and c be a complex constants, $q \neq 0$, k be a positive integer, $a(z) \not\equiv 0$ be a meromorphic (resp. entire) small function and let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a nonconstant polynomial with constant coefficients $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n (\neq 0)$ and m be the distinct zeros of $P(z)$. If $n \geq 2m(K + 2) + 3m(k + 1) + 8k + 21$ and $(P(f(z))f(qz + c))^{(k)}$ and $(P(g(z))g(qz + c))^{(k)}$ share $a(z)$ - IM, then one of the following two results holds:*

- (1) $(P(f) f(qz + c))^{(k)} (P(g) g(qz + c))^{(k)} \equiv a^2$,
- (2) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = LCM(\lambda_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$ denotes the lowest common multiple of $\lambda_j (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n)$, and

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} j + 1 & \text{if } a_j \neq 0, \\ n + 1 & \text{if } a_j = 0, \end{cases}$$

- (3) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1)w_1(qz + c) - P(w_2)w_2(qz + c).$$

In this paper, we replace the term $f(qz + c)$ and $g(qz + c)$ in Theorem C and Theorem D and obtained the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic (resp. entire) functions of zero order, q_j and c_j are complex constants, $q_j \neq 0$ ($j = 1$ to d), k, n, m are positive integers. Let $a(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function, let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a non-constant polynomial with constant coefficient $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n (\neq 0)$ and m is the number of distinct zeros of $P(z)$. If $n > 2m(k+1) + 2\lambda + (k+1)(d+1) + d$ (resp. $n \geq 2m(k+1) + 4\lambda$) and $(P(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ and $(P(g(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ share $a(z), \infty$ CM then one of the following two cases holds:*

- (1) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^l = 1$, where $l = \text{GCD}(\lambda + \gamma_0, \lambda + \gamma_1, \dots, \lambda + \gamma_n)$, and

$$\gamma_j = \begin{cases} j+1 & \text{if } a_j \neq 0, \\ n+1 & \text{if } a_j = 0, \end{cases}$$

- (2) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1) \prod_{j=1}^d w_1(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} - P(w_2) \prod_{j=1}^d w_2(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}.$$

Remark 1.1. *In Theorem 1.1, if we take $\lambda = d = 1$ then $\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} = f(qz + c)$ and we get $n > 2m(k+1) + 2k + 5$ (resp. $n \geq 2m(k+1) + 4$) and hence Theorem 1.1 reduces to Theorem C.*

Example 1.1. *Let $P(z) = (z-1)^6(z+1)^6 z^{11}$, $f(z) = \sin(z)$, $g(z) = \cos(z)$. Take $d = 1 = q$, $c = 2\pi$, $k = 0$ then it is easy to verify that, $(P(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ and $(P(g(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ share $a(z), \infty$ CM. Here f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) = 0$,*

$$\text{i.e., } P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} - P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} = 0$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions of zero order, q_j and c_j are complex constants, $q_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1$ to d , k, n, m are positive integers. Let $a(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function, let $P(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a non-constant polynomial with constant coefficient $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n (\neq 0)$ and m is the number of distinct zeros of $P(z)$.*

If $n > 2m(k+2) + 3m(k+1) + 4k(d+1) + 8d + 5\lambda + 7$ and $(P(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ and $(P(g(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ share $a(z)$ IM then one of the following two cases holds:

- (1) $(P(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)} \cdot (P(g(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)} \equiv a(z)^2$,
 (2) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t such that $t^l = 1$, where $l = \text{GCD}(\lambda + \gamma_0, \lambda + \gamma_1, \dots, \lambda + \gamma_n)$, and

$$\gamma_j = \begin{cases} j+1 & \text{if } a_j \neq 0, \\ n+1 & \text{if } a_j = 0, \end{cases}$$

(3) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1) \prod_{j=1}^d w_1(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} - P(w_2) \prod_{j=1}^d w_2(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}.$$

Remark 1.2. In Theorem 1.2, if we take $\lambda = d = 1$ then $\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} = f(qz + c)$, and we get $n > 2m(k + 2) + 3m(k + 1) + 8k + 20$, our results coincides with Theorem D.

As a particular case of the above theorems, we deduce the following corollaries.

Corollary 1.1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions of zero order such that $q_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1$ to d , where q_j and c_j are distinct nonzero complex constants. Let $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^d v_j$, k, n are positive integers, $a(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, and α a complex constant. If $n > 3k + d(k + 2) + 2\lambda + 3$ and $((f - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ and $((g - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ share $a(z), \infty$ CM then one of the following two cases holds:

- (1) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t with $t^{n+\lambda} = 1$,
- (2) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = (w_1 - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d w_1(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} - (w_2 - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d w_2(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}.$$

Corollary 1.2. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental meromorphic functions of zero order such that $q_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1$ to d , where q_j and c_j are distinct non zero complex constants. Let $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^d v_j$, k, n are positive integers, $a(z) (\neq 0)$ be a small function of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, and α a complex constant. If $n > 9k + 4d(k + 2) + 5\lambda + 11$ and $((f - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ and $((g - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}$ share $a(z)$ IM then one of the following two cases holds:

- (1) $((f - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)} \cdot ((g - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)} \equiv a^2$,
- (2) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t with $t^{n+\lambda} = 1$,
- (3) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = (w_1 - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d w_1(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} - (w_2 - \alpha)^n \prod_{j=1}^d w_2(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}.$$

2. Some Preliminary Results

To prove our theorems we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [5]. Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant zero order meromorphic function and let q, c be a nonzero complex number. Then on a set of logarithmic density 1, we have

$$m \left(r, \frac{f(qz + c)}{f(z)} \right) = S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.2. [8]. Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function of zero order and let q, c be two nonzero complex constants. Then on a set of logarithmic density 1, we have

$$N(r, f(qz + c)) = N(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(qz + c)}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.3. [8]. Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function of zero order and let q, c be two nonzero complex constants. Then on a set of logarithmic density 1, we have

$$T(r, f(qz + c)) = T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.4. [10]. Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, then

$$T(r, P_n(f)) = T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.5. [6]. Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, and let p, k be a positive integers. Then

$$N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right) - T(r, f) + N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f),$$

$$N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.6. [11]. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic functions and let $a(z) (\neq 0, \infty)$ be a small function of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share $a(z)$ IM, then one of the following three cases holds:

- (1) $T(r, f) \leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_2(r, f) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + N_2(r, g) + 2\left(\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f)\right) + \left(\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}(r, g)\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$, and similar inequality holds for $T(r, g)$,
- (2) $fg \equiv 1$,
- (3) $f \equiv g$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of zero order and $F = P(z) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}$, $q_j (\neq 0)$, c_j ($j = 1$ to d) are complex constants, n, d be a positive integers. Then

$$(n - d)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F).$$

Proof. From first fundamental theorem, lemma 2.4 and lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$(n + 1)T(r, f) = T(r, f(z)P(f)) + S(r, f) \leq T\left(r, \frac{f(z)F}{\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}\right) + S(r, f),$$

$$\leq T(r, F) + T\left(r, \frac{\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f),$$

$$\leq T(r, F) + m\left(r, \frac{\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{f(z)}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f),$$

$$\leq T(r, F) + (d + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

$\therefore (n - d)T(r, f) + s(r, f) \leq T(r, F)$ on a set of logarithmic density 1. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function of zero order and $F(z) = P(z) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}$, where $P(z)$ is polynomial of degree n and $q_j (\neq 0)$, c_j ($j = 1$ to d) are complex constants, n, d be a positive integers. Then*

$$nT(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F).$$

Proof. From first fundamental theorem, lemma 2.4 and lemma2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)T(r, f) &= T(r, f(z)P(f)) + S(r, f) \leq T\left(r, \frac{f(z)F}{\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq T(r, F) + T\left(r, \frac{\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq T(r, F) + m\left(r, \frac{\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{f(z)}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq T(r, F) + T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore nT(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F)$ on a set of logarithmic density 1. □

3. Proof Of The Theorems

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Let $F(z) = P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}$ and $F(z)^{(k)} = (P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)}$ and $G(z) = P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}$ and $G(z)^{(k)} = (P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)}$. Since $F^k(z)$ and $G^{(k)}(z)$ share $a(z), \infty$ CM, there exist a nonzero constant A such that

$$\frac{(P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)}/a(z) - 1}{(P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)}/a(z) - 1} = A, \tag{1}$$

and we get

$$(P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)} - a(z)(1 - A) = A(P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)}.$$

Now, we prove that $A = 1$, let on contrary $A \neq 1$.

Using the Second fundamental theorem and by Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(r, F^{(k)}\right) &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, F^{(k)}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{(k)}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{(k)} - \frac{a}{1-A}}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{(k)}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + T\left(r, F^{(k)}\right) - T(r, F) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, G) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq \bar{N}(r, F) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + k\bar{N}(r, G) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \\ &\leq [m(k + 1) + \lambda + d + 1]T(r, f) + [m(k + 1) + k(1 + d) + \lambda]T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

$$(n-d)T(r, f) \leq [m(k+1) + \lambda + d + 1]T(r, f) + [k(d+1) + m(k+1) + \lambda]T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (2)$$

Similarly, we get

$$(n-d)T(r, g) \leq [m(k+1) + \lambda + d + 1]T(r, g) + [k(d+1) + m(k+1) + \lambda]T(r, f) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3)$$

From 2 and 3, we get

$$(n-d)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] \leq [2m(k+1) + 2\lambda + (d+1)(k+1)](T(r, f) + T(r, g)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

$$\text{i.e., } [n - 2m(k+1) + 2\lambda + (d+1)(k+1) + d](T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

this is contradiction to $n > 2m(k+1) + 2\lambda + (d+1)(k+1) + d$. Thus, we get $A = 1$. Hence from 1, we have

$$(P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)} = (P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)},$$

and we get

$$P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} = P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} + \beta(z), \quad (4)$$

where $\beta(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k-1$. Suppose $\beta(z) \not\equiv 0$, then we get

$$\frac{P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{\beta(z)} = \frac{P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{\beta(z)} + 1$$

Therefore from Lemma 2.7, and the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-d)T(r, f) &\leq T\left(r, \frac{P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{\beta(z)}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}{\beta(z)}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{\beta(z)}{P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{\beta(z)}{P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}}\right) + S(r, f), \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + dT(r, f) + mT(r, f) + \lambda T(r, f) + mT(r, g) + \lambda T(r, g) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

$$(n-d)T(r, f) \leq [m + \lambda + d + 1]T(r, f) + [m + \lambda]T(r, g) + S(r, f) \quad (5)$$

Similarly,

$$(n-d)T(r, g) \leq [m + \lambda + d + 1]T(r, g) + [m + \lambda]T(r, f) + S(r, f) \quad (6)$$

From 5 and 6, we obtain

$$[n - 2(m + \lambda) - 2d - 1](T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g)$$

This is a contradiction to $n > 2m(k+1)+2\lambda+(k+1)(1+d)+d$. Therefore $\beta(z) \equiv 0$.

Hence 4 becomes

$$P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} = P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} \tag{7}$$

That is

$$(a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f + a_0) (\prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}) = (a_n g^n + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 g + a_0) (\prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}),$$

let $h = \frac{f}{g}$, we consider the following cases

Case 1. If $h(z)$ is a constant then substituting $f(z) = h(z)g(z)$ in 7, we have $(a_n (gh)^n + a_{n-1} (gh)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 (gh) + a_0) (\prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}) = (a_n g^n + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 g + a_0) (\prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} [a_n g^n (h^{n+\lambda} - 1) + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} (h^{n+\lambda-1} - 1) + \dots + a_0 (h^\lambda - 1)] = 0 \tag{8}$$

Where a_n is a non-zero complex constant and $\prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} \neq 0$, Since $g(z)$ is non-constant meromorphic function, then from 8

$$a_n g^n (h^{n+\lambda} - 1) + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} (h^{n+\lambda-1} - 1) + \dots + a_0 (h^\lambda - 1) = 0 \tag{9}$$

If $a_n (\neq 0)$ and $a_{n-1} = a_{n-2} = \dots = a_1 = a_0 = 0$ then from 9 and g is non-constant meromorphic function, we get $h^{n+\lambda} - 1 = 0$ implies $h^{n+\lambda} = 1$

If $a_n (\neq 0)$ and there exist $a_i \neq 0 [i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}]$. Suppose that $h^{n+\lambda} \neq 1$, from 9, we have $T(r, g) = S(r, g)$.

Which is contradiction with transcendental function g .

Then $h^{n+\lambda} = 1$, similar to this discussion we can see that $h^{n+\lambda} = 1$, where $a_j \neq 0$, for some $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Thus we have $f(z) = tg(z)$, for a constant t such that $t^l = 1$, where $l = GCD(\lambda + \gamma_0, \lambda + \gamma_1, \dots, \lambda + \gamma_n)$

$$\gamma_j = \begin{cases} j + 1 & \text{if } a_j \neq 0, \\ n + 1 & \text{if } a_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

Case 2. Suppose $h(z)$ is not constant, then $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfies the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1) \prod_{j=1}^d w_1(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} - P(w_2) \prod_{j=1}^d w_2(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}.$$

Note that, when $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are transcendental entire functions, we have $N(r, F) = 0 = N(r, G)$. By computing similarly to the case of meromorphic functions, we easily obtain the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 with $n \geq 2m(k+1) + 4\lambda$. \square

3.2. Proof of Corollary 1.1.

Proof. By considering $P(f) = (f - \alpha)^n$ and proceeding as in the lines of proof of Theorem 1.1 we get the proof of Corollary. \square

3.3. Proof of the Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Let $F(z) = P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}$ and $F(z)^{(k)} = (P(f) \prod_{j=1}^d f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)}$ and $G(z) = P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}$ and $G(z)^{(k)} = (P(g) \prod_{j=1}^d g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j})^{(k)}$. Since $F^k(z)$ and $G^{(k)}(z)$ share $a(z)$ IM. If (1) of lemma 2.6 holds, then using the lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(r, F^{(k)}\right) &\leq N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{(k)}}\right) + N_2(r, F^{(k)}) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{(k)}}\right) + N_2(r, G^{(k)}) \\ &\quad + 2\left(\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{(k)}}\right) + \overline{N}(r, F^{(k)})\right) + \left(\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G^{(k)}}\right) + \overline{N}(r, G^{(k)})\right) \\ &\quad + S(r, G) + S(r, F), \\ &\leq N_2(r, F^{(k)}) + T\left(r, F^{(k)}\right) - T(r, F) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\ &\quad + k\overline{N}(r, G) + N_2(r, G^{(k)}) + 2\left(N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, F) + \overline{N}\left(r, F^{(k)}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + k\overline{N}(r, G) + \overline{N}\left(r, G^{(k)}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq 2\overline{N}(r, F) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + (2k+3)\overline{N}(r, G) \\ &\quad + 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + (2k+2)\overline{N}(r, F) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \\ T(r, F) &\leq (2k+4)\overline{N}(r, F) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + (2k+3)\overline{N}(r, G) \\ &\quad + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, G) &\leq (2k+4)\overline{N}(r, G) + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + 2N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + (2k+3)\overline{N}(r, F) \\ &\quad + N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We have

$$\overline{N}(r, F) \leq (1+d)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{12}$$

$$N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq [m(k+2) + \lambda]T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{13}$$

$$N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq [m(k+1) + \lambda]T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{14}$$

Similarly,

$$\overline{N}(r, G) \leq (1 + d)T(r, g) + S(r, g). \tag{15}$$

$$N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq [m(k + 2) + \lambda]T(r, g) + S(r, g). \tag{16}$$

$$N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq [m(k + 1) + \lambda]T(r, g) + S(r, g). \tag{17}$$

Substituting 12-17 in 10, we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq (2k + 4)(1 + d)T(r, f) + (m(k + 2) + \lambda)T(r, f) + 2(m(k + 1) + \lambda)T(r, f) \\ &\quad + (2k + 3)(1 + d)T(r, g) + (m(k + 2) + \lambda)T(r, g) + (m(k + 1) + \lambda)T(r, g) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \\ (n - d)T(r, f) &\leq [(2k + 4)(1 + d) + m(k + 2) + 2m(k + 1) + 3\lambda]T(r, f) \\ &\quad + [(2k + 3)(1 + d) + m(k + 2) + m(k + 1) + 2\lambda]T(r, g) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (n - d)T(r, g) &\leq [(2k + 4)(1 + d) + m(k + 2) + 2m(k + 1) + 3\lambda]T(r, g) \\ &\quad + [(2k + 3)(1 + d) + m(k + 2) + m(k + 1) + 2\lambda]T(r, f) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

From 18 and 19, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n - d)[T(r, f) + T(r, g)] &\leq [(4k + 7)(1 + d) + 2m(k + 2) + 3m(k + 1) + 5\lambda](T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Which is contradiction to

$$n > 2m(k + 2) + 3m(k + 1) + 4k(1 + d) + 8d + 5\lambda + 7.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.6, we have $F^{(k)}(z).G^{(k)}(z) \equiv a^2(z)$ or $F^{(k)}(z) \equiv G^{(k)}(z)$

Case 1. Suppose $F^{(k)}(z).G^{(k)}(z) \equiv a^2(z)$, that is $(P(f(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (f(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)}.(P(g(z)) \prod_{j=1}^d (g(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}))^{(k)} \equiv a(z)^2$.

This is one of the conclusion of Theorem.

Case 2. Now we consider $F^{(k)}(z) \equiv G^{(k)}(z)$. By an argument as in theorem 1.1, we obtain that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy one of the following two statement:

(1) $f(z) = tg(z)$ for a constant t with $t^l = 1$, where $l = GCD(\lambda + \gamma_0, \lambda + \gamma_1, \dots, \lambda + \gamma_n)$, and

$$\gamma_j = \begin{cases} j + 1 & \text{if } a_j \neq 0, \\ n + 1 & \text{if } a_j = 0, \end{cases}$$

(2) $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f(z), g(z)) = 0$, where

$$R(w_1, w_2) = P(w_1) \prod_{j=1}^d w_1(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j} - P(w_2) \prod_{j=1}^d w_2(q_j z + c_j)^{v_j}.$$

□

3.4. Proof of Corollary 1.2.

Proof. By considering $P(f) = (f - \alpha)^n$ and proceeding as in the lines of proof of Theorem 1.2 we get the proof of Corollary. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Y.-M. Chiang and S.-J. Feng, On the Nevanlinna characteristic of $f(z + \eta)$ and difference equations in the complex plane, *Ramanujan J.* **16** (2008), no. 1, 105–129.
- [2] R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **314** (2006), no. 2, 477–487.
- [3] R. G. Halburd and R. J. Korhonen, Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **31** (2006), no. 2, 463–478.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [5] K. Liu and X.-G. Qi, Meromorphic solutions of q -shift difference equations, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **101** (2011), no. 3, 215–225.
- [6] W.-C. Lin and H.-X. Yi, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic function, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **35** (2004), no. 2, 121–132.
- [7] N. V. Thin, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and Q -difference polynomials sharing small functions, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **43** (2017), no. 3, 629–647.
- [8] J. Xu and X. Zhang, The zeros of q -shift difference polynomials of meromorphic functions, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2012**, 2012:200, 10 pp.
- [9] L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, translated and revised from the 1982 Chinese original, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [10] C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Mathematics and its Applications, 557, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [11] X. Zhang, Value sharing of meromorphic functions and some questions of Dyavanal, *Front. Math. China* **7** (2012), no. 1, 161–176.
- [12] Q. Zhao and J. Zhang, *J. Contemp. Math. Anal.* **50** (2015), no. 2, 63–69; translated from *Izv. Nats. Akad. Nauk Armenii Mat.* **50** (2015), no. 2, 69–79.

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JNANABHARATHI CAMPUS, BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE,
INDIA - 560 056

E-mail address: harinapw@gmail.com, harina@bub.ernet.in

MANJUNATH B. E.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JNANABHARATHI CAMPUS, BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE,
INDIA - 560 056

E-mail address: manjunath.bebub@gmail.com