

A NOTE ON INFINITE PRODUCT OF BICOMPLEX NUMBERS

DEBASMITA DUTTA, SATAVISHA DEY, SUKALYAN SARKAR, SANJIB KUMAR DATTA

ABSTRACT. A necessary and sufficient condition for the General principle of convergence of infinite product of bicomplex number is derived in this paper. We also prove here some of its consequences. Several examples have been provided to ensure the validity of the theorems proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of bicomplex numbers is a matter of active research for quite a long time since seminal work of ([9] and [1]) in search of special algebra. the algebra of bicomplex numbers are widely use in the literature as it becomes viable commutative alternative [10] to the non skew field of quaternions introduced by Hamilton [4] (both are four dimensional and generalization of complex numbers).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **The Bicomplex Numbers** [7]. A bicomplex number is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} z &= x_1 + i_1x_2 + i_2x_3 + i_1i_2x_4 \\ &= (x_1 + i_1x_2) + i_2(x_3 + i_1x_4) \\ &= z_1 + i_2z_2 \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are all real numbers with $i_1^2 = i_2^2 = -1, i_1i_2 = i_2i_1, (i_1i_2)^2 = 1$, and z_1, z_2 are complex numbers.

The set of all bicomplex numbers, complex numbers and real numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{C}_2, \mathbb{C}_1$ and \mathbb{C}_0 respectively.

2.2. **Algebra of Bicomplex Numbers** [7]. Addition is the operation on \mathbb{C}_2 defined by the function $\oplus : \mathbb{C}_2 \times \mathbb{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2$,

$$(x_1 + i_1x_2 + i_2x_3 + i_1i_2x_4, y_1 + i_1y_2 + i_2y_3 + i_1i_2y_4) = (x_1 + y_1) + i_1(x_2 + y_2) + i_2(x_3 + y_3) + i_1i_2(x_4 + y_4).$$

Scalar multiplication is the operation on \mathbb{C}_2 defined by the function $\odot : \mathbb{C}_0 \times \mathbb{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2$,

$$(a, x_1 + i_1x_2 + i_2x_3 + i_1i_2x_4) = (ax_1 + i_1ax_2 + i_2ax_3 + i_1i_2ax_4).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D30, 30G35.

Key words and phrases. Bicomplex number, Bicomplex valued function, Analytic function, Idempotent Representation, Infinite product, General principle of convergence.

Submitted April 18, 2020.

The system $(\mathbb{C}_2, \oplus, \odot)$ is a linear space.

Here the norm is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\cdot\| &: \mathbb{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \\ \|x_1 + i_1x_2 + i_2x_3 + i_1i_2x_4\| &= (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

So the system $(\mathbb{C}_2, \oplus, \odot, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space.

The space \mathbb{C}_0^4 with the Euclidean norm is known to be complete space. As \mathbb{C}_2 is embedded in \mathbb{C}_0^4 so that $x_1 + i_1x_2 + i_2x_3 + i_1i_2x_4$ corresponds to (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) and for this reason the norm on \mathbb{C}_2 is the same as the norm of \mathbb{C}_0^4 , then the normed linear space $(\mathbb{C}_2, \oplus, \odot, \|\cdot\|)$ is a complete Space. Hence $(\mathbb{C}_2, \oplus, \odot, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach Space.

The product on \mathbb{C}_2 is defined as

$$\otimes : \mathbb{C}_2 \times \mathbb{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2,$$

$$(x_1 + i_1x_2 + i_2x_3 + i_1i_2x_4, y_1 + i_1y_2 + i_2y_3 + i_1i_2y_4) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 - x_3y_3 + x_4y_4 \\ +i_1(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 - x_3y_4 - x_4y_3) \\ +i_2(x_1y_3 - x_2y_4 + x_3y_1 - x_4y_2) \\ +i_1i_2(x_1y_4 + x_2y_3 + x_3y_2 + x_4y_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \|z(z_1 + i_2z_2)\| &= |z| \cdot \|z_1 + i_2z_2\|. \\ (ii) \|(z_1 + i_2z_2)(w_1 + i_2w_2)\| &\leq \sqrt[2]{2} \|z_1 + i_2z_2\| \cdot \|w_1 + i_2w_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}_1, (z_1 + i_2z_2)$ and $(w_1 + i_2w_2) \in \mathbb{C}_2$.

So, $(\mathbb{C}_2, \oplus, \odot, \|\cdot\|, \otimes)$ is a Banach Algebra.

2.3. Idempotent Representation of Bicomplex Numbers [7]. There are four idempotent elements in \mathbb{C}_2 . they are

$$0, 1, \frac{1 + i_1i_2}{2}, \frac{1 - i_1i_2}{2}.$$

We now denote two non trivial idempotent elements by

$$e_1 = \frac{1 + i_1i_2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad e_2 = \frac{1 - i_1i_2}{2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}_2.$$

where

$$e_1^2 = e_1, e_2^2 = e_2, e_1e_2 = e_2e_1 = 0, e_1 + e_2 = 1.$$

So, e_1 and e_2 are alternatively called orthogonal idempotents.

Every element $\xi : (z_1 + i_2z_2) \in \mathbb{C}_2$ has the following unique representation

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= (z_1 - i_1z_2)e_1 + (z_1 + i_1z_2)e_2 \\ &= \xi_1e_1 + \xi_2e_2, \quad \text{where } \xi_1, \xi_2 \text{ are complex numbers.} \end{aligned}$$

This is known as idempotent representation of an element $\xi : (z_1 + i_2z_2) \in \mathbb{C}_2$.

An element $\xi : (z_1 + i_2z_2) \in \mathbb{C}_2$ is non-singular iff $|z_1^2 + z_2^2| \neq 0$ and it is singular iff $|z_1^2 + z_2^2| = 0$. The set of all singular elements is denoted by θ_2 .

If $f(z)$ be a bicomplex valued function, then f can be represented as

$$f(z) = f_1(z_1)e_1 + f_2(z_2)e_2 \quad \text{where } f_1(z_1), f_2(z_2) \in \mathbb{C}_1.$$

where $f_1(z_1), f_2(z_2)$ are both functions in \mathbb{C}_1 . This type of decomposition is known as Ringleb decomposition in

2.4. Bicomplex Exponential Function [7]. If w be any bicomplex number then the sequence $(1 + \frac{w}{n})^n$ converges to a bicomplex number denoted by $\exp w$ or e^w , called the bicomplex exponential function.

$$i.e., e^w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{w}{n}\right)^n.$$

If $w = (z_1 + i_2 z_2)$, then we get the bicomplex version of Euler's formula

$$e^w = e^z (\cos z_2 + i_2 \sin z_2) = e^{|w|_{i_1}} (\cos \arg_{i_1} w + \sin \arg_{i_1} w)$$

where $e^w \notin \theta_2$.

2.5. Bicomplex Logarithmic Function [7]. Let ξ be a bicomplex number and w be another bicomplex number such that $w \notin \theta_2$. If $e^\xi = w$, then ξ is called logarithm of w .

Let $w = (z_1 + i_2 z_2) \notin \theta_2$. i.e., if $(z_1 - i_1 z_2) \neq 0$ and $(z_1 + i_1 z_2) \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \log(z_1 + i_2 z_2) &= \{\log|z_1 - i_1 z_2| + i_1 \arg(z_1 - i_1 z_2) + 2n_1 \pi i_1\} e_1 \\ &\quad + \{\log|z_1 + i_1 z_2| + i_1 \arg(z_1 + i_1 z_2) + 2n_2 \pi i_1\} e_2. \end{aligned}$$

where $n_1, n_2 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

Also we can write,

$$\log(z_1 + i_2 z_2) = \log(z_1 - i_1 z_2) e_1 + \log(z_1 + i_1 z_2) e_2.$$

2.6. Bicomplex Holomorphic Function [7]. We start with a bicomplex valued function

$$f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2.$$

The derivative of f at a point $\omega_0 \in \Omega$ is defined by

$$f'(\omega) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\omega_0 + h) - f(\omega_0)}{h}$$

provided the limit exists and the domain is so chosen that

$$h = h_0 + i_1 h_1 + i_2 h_2 + i_1 i_2 h_3$$

is invertible. It is easy to prove that h is not invertible only for $h_0 = -h_3, h_1 = h_2$ or $h_0 = h_3, h_1 = -h_2$. i.e. $h \notin \theta_2$.

If the bicomplex derivative of f exists at each point of its domain then in similar to complex function, f will be a bicomplex holomorphic function in Ω . Indeed if f can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega) &= g_1(z_1, z_2) + i_2 g_2(z_1, z_2) \\ \omega &= z_1 + i_2 z_2 \in \Omega \end{aligned}$$

then f will be holomorphic if and only if g_1, g_2 are both complex holomorphic in z_1, z_2 and

$$\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z_2}, \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_2} = -\frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z_1}.$$

Moreover,

$$f'(\omega) = \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z_2} + i_2 \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial z_1}.$$

2.7. Bicomplex Entire Function [7]. A function f is said to be a bicomplex entire function if f is analytic in the whole bicomplex plane \mathbb{C}_2 .

2.8. Bicomplex Meromorphic Function [7]. A function f is said to be bicomplex meromorphic function in an open set $\Omega \subseteq T$ if f is a quotient $\frac{g}{h}$ of two functions which are bicomplex holomorphic in Ω where $h \notin \theta_2$.

If $f(z)$ be a bicomplex meromorphic function, then f can be represented as

$$f(z) = f_1(z_1)e_1 + f_2(z_2)e_2 \quad \text{where } f_1(z_1), f_2(z_2) \in \mathbb{C}_1.$$

where $f_1(z_1), f_2(z_2)$ are both meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C}_1 .

Now, we introduce the the infinite series and infinite product of bicomplex numbers.

2.9. Infinite Series of Bicomplex Numbers. $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_k \quad \forall k, \xi_k \in \mathbb{C}_2$ is called infinite series in \mathbb{C}_2 . Define the sequence $S : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2$ by

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the infinite sum converges iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ exists and diverges if the limit does not exists.

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \xi^*$ then ξ^* is called sum of the series and we write $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_k = \xi^*$.

$$(x_1 + i_1x_2 + i_2x_3 + i_1i_2x_4, y_1 + i_1y_2 + i_2y_3 + i_1i_2y_4) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 - x_3y_3 + x_4y_4 \\ +i_1(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 - x_3y_4 - x_4y_3) \\ +i_2(x_1y_3 - x_2y_4 + x_3y_1 - x_4y_2) \\ +i_1i_2(x_1y_4 + x_2y_3 + x_3y_2 + x_4y_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since,

$$(i) \|z(z_1 + i_2z_2)\| = |z| \cdot \|z_1 + i_2z_2\|$$

$$(ii) \|(z_1 + i_2z_2)(w_1 + i_2w_2)\| \leq \sqrt[2]{2} \|z_1 + i_2z_2\| \cdot \|w_1 + i_2w_2\|$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}_1, (z_1 + i_2z_2)$ and $(w_1 + i_2w_2) \in \mathbb{C}_2$.

So, $(\mathbb{C}_2, \oplus, \odot, \| \cdot \|, \otimes)$ is a Banach Algebra.

2.10. Infinite Product of Bicomplex Numbers. If we multiply an infinite number of factors according to some definite law then the product so obtained is called an infinite product. Let $\{u_k\}$ be the sequence of bicomplex numbers. Thus the product $u_1u_2u_3\dots$ of infinite number of factors is denoted symbolically as $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k$ and in case the factors be finite we write it as

$$P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n u_k$$

It is also clear from above that

$$\frac{P_n}{P_{n-1}} = u_n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P_{n+p}}{P_n} = u_{n+1}u_{n+2}\dots\dots u_{n+p}.$$

For the sake of convenience we will choose the factors to be of the form $(1 + u_k)$,

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + u_k) = (1 + u_1)(1 + u_2)(1 + u_3)\dots\dots$$

The product of n factors is written as

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + u_k) = (1 + u_1) (1 + u_2) (1 + u_3) \dots\dots (1 + u_n).$$

In this paper we wish to find out infinite product theorem and some example under the treatment of bicomplex analysis. We do not explain the standard definitions and notations of the theories of bicomplex valued entire function as those are available in ([7],[2],[5] and [6])

3. THEOREMS.

In this section we present the main results of our paper.

3.1. General Principle of Convergence of Infinite Product.

Theorem 3.1. *The necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of infinite product P is that corresponding to any $\varepsilon > 0, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\left\| \frac{P_{n+t}}{P_n} - 1 \right\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n > m, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}.$$

where $P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (u_k + 1)$ and $P_{n+t} = \prod_{k=1}^{n+t} (1 + u_k)$, $\{u_k\}$ is sequence of bicomplex numbers.

Proof. Let $\{P_n\}$ be convergent to a non zero finite limit $l \in \mathbb{C}_2$.

Since $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of bicomplex numbers

$$\begin{aligned} P_n &= \prod_{k=1}^n (u_k + 1) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + u'_k) \right) e_1 + \left(\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + u''_k) \right) e_2 \\ &= P'_n e_1 + P''_n e_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } u_k = u'_k e_1 + u''_k e_2$$

$$P'_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + u'_k)$$

$$P''_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + u''_k).$$

Since $\{P_n\}$ converges to $l \in \mathbb{C}_2$

Since, $l = l_1 e_1 + l_2 e_2$ where $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Hence $\{P'_n\}$ converges to l_1 and $\{P''_n\}$ converges to l_2 . [2] Also by general principle of convergence of infinite product in complex numbers we can say that $\{P'_{n+t}\}$ converges to l_1 and $\{P''_{n+t}\}$ converges to l_2 .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n+t}}{P_n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P'_{n+t} e_1 + P''_{n+t} e_2}{P'_n e_1 + P''_n e_2} \\ &= \frac{l_1 e_1 + l_2 e_2}{l_1 e_1 + l_2 e_2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $\varepsilon > 0, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{P_{n+t}}{P_n} - 1 \right\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq m.$$

Conversely let,

$$\text{Let } \left\| \frac{P_{n+t}}{P_n} - 1 \right\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq m.$$

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n+t}}{P_n} = 1$$

Now the idempotent representation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{n+t}}{P_n} &= \frac{P'_{n+t}}{P'_n} e_1 + \frac{P''_{n+t}}{P''_n} e_2 \\ \text{i.e. } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{P'_{n+t}}{P'_n} e_1 + \frac{P''_{n+t}}{P''_n} e_2 \right) &= 1 = e_1 + e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since we can write,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P'_{n+t}}{P'_n} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P''_{n+t}}{P''_n} = 1.$$

Therefore, $P'_{n+t}, P''_{n+t}, P'_n$ and P''_n satisfy general principle of convergence of infinite product in $\mathbb{C}_1[2]$.

Hence $P'_{n+t}, P''_{n+t}, P'_n$ and P''_n are all convergent in \mathbb{C}_1 .

Now, $\{P_n\}$ is convergent in \mathbb{C}_2 .

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 3.1 The following corollaries are evident from Theorem 3.1 as we see below.

Corollary 3.1 The infinite product $\prod (1 + a_n)$ where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}_2 \forall n$, is absolutely convergent iff the series $\sum \log(1 + a_n)$ is absolutely convergent i.e., iff $\sum a_n$ is absolutely convergent where $(1 + a_n) \notin \theta_2$ otherwise $\log(1 + a_n)$ is not defined.

Proof. It follows from the theorem 3.1 and the theorem which states that if a_n is real and non negative then the series $\sum a_n$ and the product $\prod (1 + a_n)$ converges and diverges together. \square

Corollary 3.2 If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\|$ ($a_n \in \mathbb{C}_2$ and $(1 + a_n) \notin \theta_2$) converges, then infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$ converges.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 3.1. \square

Remark 3.2 The following example ensures the validity of Corollary 3.1.

Example 3.1 The product

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n\pi} \right) e^{-\frac{z}{n\pi}} \quad \text{is absolutely convergent series of bicomplex numbers.}$$

Let $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_n(z))$ denote the given product where $z \in \mathbb{C}_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_n(z)) &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n\pi}\right) e^{-\frac{z}{n\pi}} \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{n\pi} + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{z^2}{n^2\pi^2} - \dots\right) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{z^2}{n^2\pi^2} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{z^3}{n^3\pi^3} - \dots\right) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$a_n(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{z^2}{n^2\pi^2} - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{z^3}{n^3\pi^3} - \dots$$

Let $b_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$ then,

$$\lim \left\| \frac{a_n(z)}{b_n(z)} \right\| = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \|z\|^2, \text{ is a finite quantity for all } z.$$

But the series $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ is convergent. Hence by comparison test $\sum \|a_n(z)\|$ is also convergent. Hence, $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n\pi}\right) e^{-\frac{z}{n\pi}}$ is absolutely convergent.

3.2. A Sufficient Condition for the Convergence of Infinite Product.

Theorem 3.2. *The infinite product $\prod (1 + a_n)$ will be convergent if the two series $\sum a_n$ and $\sum \|a_n\|^2$ are both convergent where $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of bicomplex numbers and $(1 + a_n) \notin \theta_2$.*

Proof. Let $\sum a_n$ and $\sum \|a_n\|^2$ be convergent series of bicomplex numbers.

$$a_n = a'_n e_1 + a''_n e_2 \text{ where } a'_n, a''_n \in \mathbb{C}_1.$$

Now,

$$1 + a_n = (a'_n + 1) e_1 + (a''_n + 1) e_2.$$

Since, $(1 + a_n) \notin \theta_2$ so, $\log(1 + a_n)$ is defined.

Hence $(a'_n + 1) \neq 0$ and $(a''_n + 1) \neq 0$.

Therefore $\log(a'_n + 1)$ and $\log(a''_n + 1)$ are both defined.

$$\log(1 + a_n) = \log(a'_n + 1) e_1 + \log(a''_n + 1) e_2.$$

Since $\sum a_n$ and $\sum \|a_n\|^2$ are convergent series of bicomplex numbers, It follows that $\sum a'_n, \sum |a'_n|^2, \sum a''_n$ and $\sum |a''_n|^2$ are convergent series of complex numbers [2].

From the sufficient condition for convergence of infinite product complex numbers, we can say that

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a'_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a''_n).$$

Clearly,

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_n) = \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a'_n) \right) e_1 + \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a''_n) \right) e_2.$$

Hence $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$ is convergent.

This proves the theorem. □

Theorem 3.3. *Every absolutely convergent infinite product of bicomplex numbers is convergent.*

Proof. Let the product $\prod (1 + a_n)$ be absolutely convergent.

Then by general principle of convergence of infinite product of bicomplex numbers we say that for $\varepsilon > 0, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(1 + \|a_1\|) \dots (1 + \|a_{n+t}\|) - 1 < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq m, \quad t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$\text{Therefore, } 1 + \|a_1\| \geq \|1 + a_1\|, \dots, 1 + \|a_{n+t}\| \geq \|1 + a_{n+t}\|$$

We have

$$\|(1 + a_{n+1}) \dots (1 + a_{n+t}) - 1\| \leq \|(1 + \|a_1\|) \dots (1 + \|a_{n+t}\|) - 1\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq m, \quad t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Hence $\prod (1 + a_n)$ is convergent. Thus the theorem is established. □

Now we wish to prove the convergence of infinite product using the notion of logarithm of bicomplex valued function as we see in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $\sum \|\log(1 + a_n)\|$ to be convergent series of bicomplex numbers is that $\sum \|a_n\|$ is convergent, where $\log(1 + a_n)$ is defined when $(1 + a_n) \notin \theta_2$.*

Proof.

Let $\sum \|\log(1 + a_n)\|$ be convergent.

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log(1 + a_n) = 0$$

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + a_n) = 1$$

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$$

Since a_n is bicomplex variable

$$\text{Therefore, } a_n = a'_n e_1 + a''_n e_2 \quad \text{where } a'_n, a''_n \in \mathbb{C}_1.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a'_n e_1 + a''_n e_2) = 0 \cdot e_1 + 0 \cdot e_2$$

$$\text{i.e., } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a'_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a''_n = 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, we can find $K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a'_n| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n \geq K_1$ and $|a''_n| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n \geq K_2$.

Let $K = \max \{K_1, K_2\}$

$$|a'_n| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |a''_n| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall n \geq K.$$

$$\log(1 + a'_n) = a'_n - \frac{1}{2} a'^2_n + \frac{1}{3} a'^3_n - \dots$$

$$\log(1 + a''_n) = a''_n - \frac{1}{2} a''^2_n + \frac{1}{3} a''^3_n - \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\log(1 + a'_n)}{a'_n} - 1 \right| &= \left| -\frac{1}{2}a'_n + \frac{1}{3}a'^2_n - \dots \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \dots \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\log(1 + a''_n)}{a''_n} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2}. \\ \frac{1}{2} \leq \left| \frac{\log(1 + a'_n)}{a'_n} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \left| \frac{\log(1 + a''_n)}{a''_n} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{3}{2}. \quad (1) \\ \text{i.e., } |a'_n| \leq 2 \left| \log(1 + a'_n) \right| &\text{ and } |a''_n| \leq 2 \left| \log(1 + a''_n) \right| \quad \forall n \geq K. \end{aligned}$$

So by comparison test,

$$\sum |a'_n| \text{ and } \sum |a''_n| \text{ both convergent.}$$

We know that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k + i_2 b_k)$ converges absolutely if and only if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k - i_1 b_k)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k + i_1 b_k)$ converges absolutely.

So, $\sum \|a_n\|$ is convergent where a_n 's are bicomplex numbers. □

Conversely let, $\sum \|a_n\|$ be convergent series of bicomplex numbers. Then

$$\lim a_n = 0.$$

From previous part we can write,

$$\lim a'_n = 0 \text{ and } \lim a''_n = 0 \quad \text{where } a'_n, a''_n \text{ are complex numbers.}$$

From equation (1) we can write

$$\left| \log(1 + a'_n) \right| \leq \frac{3}{2} |a'_n| \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \log(1 + a''_n) \right| \leq \frac{3}{2} |a''_n| \quad \forall n \geq K.$$

By Comparison test,

$$\sum \left| \log(1 + a'_n) \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum \left| \log(1 + a''_n) \right| \text{ both convergent.}$$

Therefore $\sum \|\log(1 + a_n)\|$ is convergent. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Future Prospect : In the line of the works as carried out in the paper one may think of the formation of infinite product of n - dimensional bicomplex numbers with the help of idempotents $0, 1, \frac{1+i_1 i_2}{2}, \frac{1-i_1 i_2}{2}, \frac{1+i_1 i_3}{2}, \frac{1-i_1 i_3}{2}, \frac{1+i_2 i_3}{2}, \frac{1-i_2 i_3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1+i_{n-1} i_n}{2}$ and $\frac{1-i_{n-1} i_n}{2}$ in \mathbb{C}_n . As a consequence the derivation of relevant results in this area is still a virgin area of research.

Acknowledgement : The first and fourth author sincerely acknowledge the financial support rendered by DST-FIST 2019.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alpay, M.E.Lunna-Elizarras, M.Shapiro and D.C. Struppa :Basics of functional analysis with bicomplex scalars, and bicomplex schur analysis, Springer, 1-94, 2013.
- [2] J.B. Conway: Functions of one complex variable, Second edition, Springer International Student Edition, Narosa Publishing house, 2002.
- [3] K.S.Charak, D.Rochon and N.Sharma : Normal families of bicomplex merpmorphic functions, Ann Pol Math, Vol.103 No.3, 303-317, 2012.
- [4] W. R. Hamilton: On a new species of imaginary quantities connected with a theory of quaternions, Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, Vol. 2 ,424-434, 1844.
- [5] M.E.Lunna-Elizarras, M.Shapiro, D.C. Struppa and A. Vajiac: Bicomplex numbers and their elementary functions, Cubo, A Mathematical Journal, Vol. 14 No. 2, 61-80, 2013.
- [6] M.E.Lunna-Elizarras, M.Shapiro, D.C. Struppa and A. Vajiac: Bicomplex Holomorphic functions, The Algebra Geometry and Analysis of Bicomplex numbers, Birkhauser Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2015.
- [7] G.B. Price : An introduction to multiplex spaces and functions, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1991.
- [8] James.D.Riley : Contribution to the theory of functions of bicomplex variable. Tohoku Math. J. ,Vol.2,132-165, 1953.
- [9] C. Segre: Le rappresentazioni reali delle forme complesse a gli enti iperalgebrici, Math. Ann, Vol. 40, 413-467, 1842.
- [10] N. Spampinato: Sulla rappresentazioni delle funzioni di variabili bicomplexa total mente derivabili, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl., Vol.14, No.4,305-325,1936.
- [11] D.Rochon: A bicomplex Reimann zeta function, Tokyo journal of Mathematics, Vol. 27, No. 2, 357-369, 2004.

DEBASMITA DUTTA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LADY BRABOURNE COLLEGE,, P-1/2 SUHRAWARDY AVENUE, BENIAPUKUR,, DIST-KOLKATA, PIN-700017, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: debasmita.dut@gmail.com

SATAVISHA DEY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIJOY KRISHNA GIRLS' COLLEGE,, M.G. ROAD, DIST- HOWRAH, PIN-711101, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: itzmesata@gmail.com

SUKALYAN SARKAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKHULAL NIBARAN CHANDRA COLLEGE, P.O-AURANGABAD, DIST-MURSHIDABAD,, PIN-742201, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: sukalyanmath.knc@gmail.com

SANJIB KUMAR DATTA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI, P.O-KALYANI, DIST.-NADIA, PIN-741235, WEST BENGAL, INDIA.

E-mail address: sanjibdatta05@gmail.com