

ON CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION

H. E. DARWISH, A. Y. LASHIN AND A. N. ALNAYYEF

ABSTRACT. For certain meromorphic p -valent function ϕ and ψ , we study a class of function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, ($a_n \geq 0$), defined in the punctured unit disc D , satisfying $\Re \left(\frac{(f*\phi)(z)}{(f*\psi)(z)} \right) > \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, z \in D$). Coefficient estimate, distortion theorem and radii of starlikeness and convexity are obtained. Further we consider integral operators associated with functions belonging to the aforementioned class.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ_p denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad p \in N, N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \quad (1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the punctured unit disk $D = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$. A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\Omega_p(\alpha)$ of meromorphic p -valently starlike functions of order α in D if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in D; 0 \leq \alpha < p; p \in N)$$

Furthermore, a function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $A_p(\alpha)$ of meromorphic p -valently convex functions of order α in D if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ -\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in D; 0 \leq \alpha < p; p \in N)$$

The classes $\Omega_p(\alpha)$, $A_p(\alpha)$ and various other subclasses of Σ_p have been studied rather extensively by Frasin and Murugusundaramoorthy [4], Aouf et.al. [1, 2, 3], Joshi and Srivastava [5], Kulkarni et.al. [6], Mogra [8], Owa et.al. [9], Srivastava and Owa [10], Uralegaddi and Somantha [11], and Yang [12].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic p -valent function, meromorphically starlike and convex, Hadamard product.

Submitted July 23, 2015.

The Hadamard product or convolution of the functions $f(z)$ given by (1) and

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n .$$

For two functions f and g analytic in D , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in (denoted by $f \prec g$) if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in D with $w(0) = 0$, and $|w(z)| < 1 (z \in D)$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. We introduce here a class $M(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ which is defined as follows: suppose the functions $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \lambda_n z^n \\ \psi(z) &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \mu_n z^n . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where $\mu_n \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ (for all $n \geq p$).

A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $M(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{(f * \phi)(z)}{(f * \psi)(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in D).$$

In the next section we derive sufficient conditions for $f(z)$ to be in the class $M(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ and $\Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$, which are obtained by using coefficient inequalities .

2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

Theorem 1 If $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \{k\mu_n - \lambda_n + |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)|\} |a_n| \leq 2(1 - \alpha) \quad (3)$$

for some $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, and $(k \geq 1)$, then $f \in M(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$.

Proof Suppose that (3) holds true for α $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ and $\mu_n \geq \lambda_n$ $(k \geq 1)$, for $f \in \Sigma_p$ it suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{(f * \phi)(z)}{(f * \psi)(z)} - k}{\frac{(f * \phi)(z)}{(f * \psi)(z)} + (k - 2\alpha)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in D)$$

we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\frac{(f * \phi)(z)}{(f * \psi)(z)} - k}{\frac{(f * \phi)(z)}{(f * \psi)(z)} + (k - 2\alpha)} \right| &= \left| \frac{k - 1 + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (k\mu_n - \lambda_n) a_n z^{p+n}}{1 - 2\alpha + k + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)) a_n z^{p+n}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{k - 1 + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (k\mu_n - \lambda_n) |a_n| z^{p+n}}{1 - 2\alpha + k - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)| |a_n| z^{p+n}} \\ &< \frac{k - 1 + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (k\mu_n - \lambda_n) |a_n|}{1 - 2\alpha + k - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)| |a_n|} . \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by 1 if

$$k - 1 + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (k\mu_n - \lambda_n) |a_n| < 1 - 2\alpha + k - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)| |a_n|$$

which is equivalent to our condition (3) of Theorem 1.

When $\phi(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} - \frac{z^p[p-(p+1)z]}{p(1-z)^2}$ and $\psi(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{z^p}{1-z}$ we have $\lambda_n = \frac{-n}{p}$ and $\mu_n = 1$ therefore $M(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ reduce to the class $\Omega_p(\alpha)$. Putting $\lambda_n = \frac{-n}{p}$ and $\mu_n = 1$ in Theorem ??, we obtain the following corollary due to Frasin and Murugusundaramoorthy [4].

Corollary 1 Let $\sigma_n(p, k, \alpha) = (p + n + k - 1) + |p + n + 2\alpha - k - 1|$. If $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1} z^{p+n-1}$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}$), satisfies $\sigma_n(p, k, \alpha) |a_{p+n-1}| < 2(p - \alpha)$ for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$) and some k ($k \geq p$), then $f(z) \in \Omega_p(\alpha)$.

When $k = 1$ and $\lambda_n + \mu_n(1 - 2\alpha) \leq 0 \leq \mu_n - \lambda_n$ we get the following corollary due to Kumar et al [7].

Corollary 2 Let $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ given by (1). If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha\mu_n - \lambda_n) |a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha$ for α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), then $f(z) \in M_P(g, h, \alpha)$.

In view of Theorem 1, we now define the subclass $\Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ of $M(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ which consists of functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfying condition (3).

3. DISTORTION THEOREM

Theorem 2 If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) is in the class $\Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$, then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(k\mu_p - \lambda_p) + |\lambda_p + \mu_p(k - 2\alpha)|} r^p \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(k\mu_p - \lambda_p) + |\lambda_p + \mu_p(k - 2\alpha)|} r^p, \tag{4}$$

where the sequence $\langle \mu_n \rangle$ and $\langle \mu_n/\lambda_n \rangle$ are nondecreasing.

The bound in (4) is attained for the functions $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(k\mu_p - \lambda_p) + |\lambda_p + \mu_p(k - 2\alpha)|} z^p. \tag{5}$$

Proof We observe that the sequences $\langle k\mu_n - \lambda_n \rangle$ and $\langle \lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha) \rangle$ are non-decreasing. Since $f(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ from inequality (3) we have

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(k\mu_p - \lambda_p) + |\lambda_p + \mu_p(k - 2\alpha)|} \tag{6}$$

Thus for $0 < |z| = r < 1$, and making use of (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{z^p} \right| + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^p} + r^p \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(k\mu_p - \lambda_p) + |\lambda_p + \mu_p(k - 2\alpha)|} r^p \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(z)| &\geq \left| \frac{1}{z^p} - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^n \right| & (8) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} - r^p \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{|\lambda_p - k\mu_p| + |\lambda_p + \mu_p(k-2\alpha)|} r^p
 \end{aligned}$$

which readily yields the inequality (4). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4. RADII OF STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

The radii of starlikeness and convexity for class $M(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ is given by.

Theorem 3 If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) is in the class $M(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$, then $f(z)$ is meromorphically p -valetly starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in $|z| < r_1$ where

$$r_1 = \inf \left\{ \frac{(p-\delta) [(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k-2\alpha)|]}{2(n+2p-\delta)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}} \quad (9)$$

furthermore, $f(z)$ is meromorphically p -valetly convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in $|z| < r_2$ where

$$r_2 = \inf \left\{ \frac{(p-\delta-2) [(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k-2\alpha)|]}{2n[n+2p-\delta](1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}} \quad (10)$$

The results (9), (10) are sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{2(k-\alpha)}{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k-2\alpha)|} z^{n+p} \quad (11)$$

Proof To prove (9) it suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + p \right| \leq p - \delta \quad (12)$$

for $|z| < r_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + p \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n+p) a_n z^{n+p}}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+p}} \right| & (13) \\
 &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n+p) |a_n| |z|^{n+p}}{1 - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^{n+p}} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence (13) holds true if

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} (n+p) |a_n| |z|^{n+p} \leq (p-\delta) \left[1 - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n| |z|^{n+p} \right] \quad (14)$$

that is

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{(n+2p-\delta)}{(p-\delta)} |a_n| |z|^{n+p} \leq 1. \quad (15)$$

With the aid of (3), (15) is true if

$$|z|^{n+p} \frac{(n + 2p - \delta)}{(p - \delta)} \leq \frac{k\mu_n - \lambda_n + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|}{2(1 - \alpha)} \quad n \geq 1. \tag{16}$$

Solving (16) for $|z|$ we obtain

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(p - \delta) \{k\mu_n - \lambda_n + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|\}}{2(n + 2p - \delta)(1 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}} \quad n \geq 1. \tag{17}$$

In precisely the same manner, we can find the radius of convexity asserted by (10) by requiring that

$$\left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} + p + 1 \right| \leq p - \delta. \tag{18}$$

5. CLOSURE THEOREM

Let the functions $f_j(z)$ be defined, for $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n, \quad (z \in D). \tag{19}$$

Now, we shall prove the following result for closure of functions in the class $\Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$.

Theorem 4 Let the functions $f_j(z)$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, defined by (19) be in the class $\Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$. Then the function $h(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ where

$$h(z) = \sum_{j=p}^m b_j f_j(z), \quad b_j \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{j=p}^m b_j = 1. \tag{20}$$

Proof From (20), we can write

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \tag{21}$$

where

$$c_n = \sum_{j=p}^m b_j a_{n,j}, \quad j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}. \tag{22}$$

Since $f_j(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$, ($j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$), from (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|}{2(1 - \alpha)} \left(\sum_{j=p}^m b_j a_{n,j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=p}^m b_j \left(\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|}{2(1 - \alpha)} a_{n,j} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=p}^m b_j = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that $h(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 5 Let

$$f_{p-1} = \frac{1}{z^p} \quad (z \in D) \tag{23}$$

and

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|}{2(1 - \alpha)} z^n, \quad (24)$$

where $n \geq p$; $z \in D$. Then $f(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=p-1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z), \quad (25)$$

where $\lambda_n \geq 0$, ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) and $\sum_{n=p-1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

Proof From (23), (24), and (25) it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=p-1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|} \lambda_n z^n. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|}{2(1 - \alpha)} \cdot \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|} \lambda_n = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_{p-1} \leq 1,$$

it follows from Theorem 1 that the function $f(z)$ given by (25) is in the class $\Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$. Conversely, let us suppose that $f(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$. Since

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|} \quad (n \geq p).$$

Setting

$$\lambda_n = \frac{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n(k - 2\alpha)|}{2(1 - \alpha)} |a_n| \quad (n \geq p)$$

and

$$\lambda_{p-1} = 1 - \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \lambda_n.$$

It follows that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=p-1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z).$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 5.

6. INTEGRAL OPERATOR

Theorem 6 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$ and c be a real number such that $c > p$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{c-p}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (27)$$

also belongs to the class $\Omega(\alpha, \lambda, g)$.

Proof From the representation of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad (28)$$

where

$$c_n = \left(\frac{c-p}{c+n} \right) a_n. \tag{29}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} [k\mu_n - \lambda_n + |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)|] c_n \\ &= \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} [k\mu_n - \lambda_n + |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)|] \left(\frac{c-1}{c+n} \right) a_n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [k\mu_n - \lambda_n + |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)|] a_n \leq 2(1-\alpha) \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

since $f(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$. Hence, by Theorem 1, $F(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$

Theorem 7 Let c be a real number such that $c > p$. If $F(z) \in \Omega(\alpha, \phi, \psi)$. Then the function $f(z)$ defined by (27) is meromorphically p -valent close-to-convex in $|z| < r^*$, where

$$r^* = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(p-\alpha)(c-p)[(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)|]}{2n(c+n)(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+p}} \quad (n \geq p) \tag{31}$$

The result is sharp.

Proof Let $F(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} a_n z^n (a_n \geq 0)$. it follows from (27) that

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{1-c} [z^c F(z)]'}{(c-p)} = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+n}{c-p} \right) a_n z^n \quad (c > p). \tag{32}$$

In order to obtain the required result it suffices to show that $|z^{p+1} f'(z) + p| \leq p - \alpha$ in $|z| < r^*$. Now

$$|z^{p+1} f'(z) + p| \leq \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n(c+n)}{(c-p)} a_n |z|^{n-1}.$$

Thus $|z^{p+1} f'(z) + p| < p - \alpha$ if

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{n(c+n)}{(p-\alpha)(c-p)} a_n |z|^{n-1} < 1. \tag{33}$$

Hence by using (3), (33) will be satisfied if

$$\frac{n(c+n)}{(p-\alpha)(c-p)} |z|^{n+p} < \frac{(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)|}{2(1-\alpha)},$$

i.e, if

$$|z| < \left[\frac{(p-\alpha)(c-p)[(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)|]}{2n(c+n)(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+p}} \quad (n \geq p). \tag{34}$$

Therefore $f(z)$ is meromorphic close-to-convex in $|z| < r^*$. Sharpness follows if we take

$$f(z) = z + \frac{2n(c+n)(1-\alpha)}{(p-\alpha)(c-p)[(k\mu_n - \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n + \mu_n (k - 2\alpha)|]} z^n \tag{35}$$

($n \geq p, c > p$).

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank the referee for his careful reading and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. K. Aouf, New criteria for multivalent meromorphic starlike functions of order α , Proc. Japan. Acad. Ser. A. Math. Sci., 69 (1993), 66–70.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and H. M. Hossen, New criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions, Tsukuba J. Math., 17 (1993) 481-486.
- [3] M. K. Aouf and H. M. Srivastava, A new criteria for meromorphic p -valent convex functions of order α , Math. Sci. Res. Hot-line, 1(8) (1997), 7–12.
- [4] B. A. Frasin and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, New subclasses of meromorphic p -valent functions, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math 6(3) (2005), Article 68, 10. p .
- [5] S. B. Joshi and H. M. Srivastava, A certain family of meromorphically multivalent functions, Compute. Math. Appl., 38 (1999), 201–211.
- [6] S. R. Kukarni, U. H. Naik and H. M. Srivastava, A certain class of meromorphically p -valent quasi-convex functions, Pan Amer. Math. J., 8(1) (1998), 57–64.
- [7] S. V. Kumar, V. Ravichandran and H. C. Taneja, Meromorphic functions with positive coefficient defined by using convolution, J. Inequal. pure. Appl. Math. 6(2) (2005) , Article (58) , 9 p.
- [8] M. L. Mogra, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients I and II, Math. Japon., 35 (1990), 1–11 and 1089–1098.
- [9] S. Owa, H. E. Darwish and M. K. Aouf, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive and fixed second coefficients, Math. Japon., 46 (1997), 231–236.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory, World Scientific, Singapore/New Jersey/London/Hong Kong, (1992).
- [11] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of meromorphic multivalent functions, Tamkang J. Math., 23 (1992), 223–231.
- [12] D. G. Yang, On new subclasses of meromorphic p -valent functions, J. Math. Res. Exposition, 15 (1995) 7–13.

H. E. DARWISH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY MANSOURA, 35516, EGYPT

E-mail address: darwish333@yahoo.com

A. Y. LASHIN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY MANSOURA, 35516, EGYPT

E-mail address: aylashin@mans.edu.eg, aylashin@yahoo.com

A. N. ALNAYYEF

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY MANSOURA, 35516, EGYPT

E-mail address: ahmed.nabee136@yahoo.com