

**DISCRETE ADOMIAN DECOMPOSITION METHOD FOR
SOLVING A CLASS OF NONLINEAR FREDHOLM VOLTERRA
INTEGRAL EQUATION IN TWO DIMENSIONS**

I. L. EL-KALLA, R. A. ABD-ELMONEM, A. M. GOMAA

ABSTRACT. Discrete Adomian decomposition method (DADM) arises when the quadrature rules are used to approximate the integrals which can not be computed analytically using the traditional Adomian decomposition method (ADM). In this paper, DADM is used to solve a class of nonlinear Fredholm Volterra integral equation with degenerate or non-degenerate kernels. The main advantage of DADM is that the computation of the solution need not to solve nonlinear algebraic system of equations like Nystrom method or projection methods. Another advantage is that the coefficient matrices are not changed during the computation of all components. Finally, convergence of the technique is discussed and the error is estimated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many problems of mathematical physics are reduced to the solution of two-dimensional integral equations in the nonlinear case. These type of integral equations have rarely been studied to solve numerically and primary works in this area have been done in the last two decades (see [1] -[5]). Papers ([1] -[5]) reduce the solution of the nonlinear integral equation to the solution of a nonlinear system of algebraic equations. The iteration methods, for example Newton's method, for solving such cumbersome nonlinear system is usually sensitive to the selection of initial guess. DADM can overcome this obstacle and solve nonlinear integral equation, see section 2.

The topic of ADM, introduced by Adomian ([6] ,[7]), has been rapidly growing in recent years. ADM possesses a great potential in solving different kinds of functional equations. Application of ADM to different types of integral equations was discussed by many authors for example (see [8] -[12]). In this paper we consider the two dimensional nonlinear Fredholm-Volterra integral equation

$$u(x, t) = f(x, t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f_1(u(x, \tau)) d\tau + \lambda_2 \int_a^b k_2(x, s) f_2(u(s, t)) ds. \quad (1)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 45G99, 65R20.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear integral equations; Error estimate; Discrete Adomian method..

Equation (1) is called Fredholm integral equation with respect to the position and Volterra with respect to the time. This type of equation appears in many problems of mathematical physics, theory of elasticity, contact problems, and mixed problems of mechanics of continuous media (see [13] -[15]). Several numerical methods for obtaining the approximate solution of equation (1) with continuous kernel are known ([2], [16] - [19]). The interested reader should consult the fine expositions by Linz [20], Goldberg [21], Atkinson [22, 23], Delves and Mohammed [24] for numerical methods and consult the book by Tricomi [25] for information concerning analytical solution methods. In this work we assume $f(x, t)$ is bounded $\forall x \in [a, b], t \in [0, T]$, the kernel of Volterra term is bounded such that $|k_1(t, \tau)| \leq M_1, \forall 0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq T < \infty$ and the kernel of the Fredholm term is bounded such that $|k_2(x, s)| \leq M_2, \forall a \leq x$ and $s \leq b$. The nonlinear terms $f_1(u)$ and $f_2(u)$ are Lipschitzian with $|f_1(u) - f_1(z)| \leq L_1 |u - z|, |f_2(u) - f_2(w)| \leq L_2 |u - w|$ and have Adomian polynomials representations

$$f_1(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n), f_2(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n). \quad (2)$$

The author in [26, 27] deduced a new formula to the Adomian's polynomials A_n and B_n which can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= f_1(S_n) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i, \\ B_n &= f_2(S_n) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the partial sum $S_n = \sum_{i=0}^n u_i, A_0 = f_1(u_0)$ and $B_0 = f_2(u_0)$. Formula (3) is called an accelerated Adomian polynomials and it was used successfully in [11] for solving a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations and in [28] for solving a class of nonlinear partial differential equations. Formula (3) has the advantage of absence of any derivative terms in the recursion, thereby allowing for ease of computation. Applying ADM to (1) yields

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i(x, t), \quad (4)$$

where the components $u_i(x, t), i \geq 0$ are computed using the following recursive relations

$$u_0(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad (5)$$

$$u_{m+1}(x, t) = \lambda_1 \int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) A_m(x, \tau) d\tau + \lambda_2 \int_a^b k_2(x, s) B_m(s, t) ds, \quad m \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

The computation of each component $u_i(x, t), i \geq 1$ requires the computation of integrals in equation (6). If the evaluation of these integrals analytically are possible, ADM can be applied in a simple manner. In case where the evaluation of any integral in (6) is analytically impossible, DADM can be directly applied, please see the details in section 2. In section 3, convergence analysis will be introduced including the sufficient condition that guarantees a unique solution to problem (1) (see Theorem 1), convergence of ADM will be discussed (see Theorem 2), the maximum absolute truncation error of the Adomian's series solution (4) will be estimated

(see Theorem 3) and equivalence between DADM and ADM will be introduced (see Theorem 4). Finally, to verify the theoretical results, some numerical examples are presented in section 4.

2. DISCRETE ADOMIAN DECOMPOSITION METHOD

DADM is a numerical version of ADM. In paper [29], DADM is used to solve linear and nonlinear Fredholm integral equation. DADM arises when the quadrature rules are used to approximate the integrals which can not be computed analytically. Consider any numerical integration scheme to approximate definite integral by the following formula ([30] -[31])

$$\int_a^b g(s)ds \approx \sum_{j=0}^n w_{n,j} g(s_{n,j}), \quad (7)$$

where $g(s)$ is continuous function on $[a, b]$, $s_{n,j} = a + jh$ are the nodes of the quadrature rule, $h = (b - a)/n$ and $w_{n,j}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ are the weight functions. The idea is to discretize the independent variables x and t just before applying the quadrature rule. This gives an opportunity to evaluate the integrals in equation (6) numerically but, of course, at the discretization points of the independent variables. Thus, the discrete version of equations (5) and (6) take the form

$$\tilde{u}_0(s_{n,i}, s_{n,j}) = f(s_{n,i}, s_{n,j}), \quad \text{and} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_{m+1}(s_{n,i}, s_{n,j}) &= \lambda_1 \sum_{r=0}^j w_{n,r} k_1(s_{n,j}, s_{n,r}) A_m(s_{n,i}, s_{n,r}) \\ &+ \lambda_2 \sum_{r=0}^n w_{n,r} k_2(s_{n,i}, s_{n,r}) B_m(s_{n,r}, s_{n,j}), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$m \geq 0$, $s_{n,i} = a + ih$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $s_{n,j} = j\frac{T}{n}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and $w_{n,j}$ are the weight functions of any numerical integration scheme. The approximate solution of equation (1) using DADM can be computed as

$$\tilde{u}(s_{n,i}, s_{n,j}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_m(s_{n,i}, s_{n,j}). \quad (10)$$

Rewriting equations (8), (9) and (10) in matrix form

$$U_0 = F, \quad (11)$$

$$U_{m+1} = C G_m + D H_m, \quad m \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (12)$$

$$U = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} U_m \quad (13)$$

where $U_0, U_m, U, F, C, D, G_m$ and H_m are all matrices with dimension $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} U_m &= \left[\tilde{u}_m(s_{n,i}, s_{n,j}) \right], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad m \geq 0, \\ F &= [f(s_{n,i}, s_{n,j})], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ U &= \left[\tilde{u}(s_{n,i}, s_{n,j}) \right], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ G_m &= [A_m(s_{n,i}, s_{n,r})], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ H_m &= [B_m(s_{n,r}, s_{n,j})], \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ C &= [c_{r,j}], \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ c_{r,j} &= \{ \lambda_1 w_{n,r} k_1(s_{n,j}, s_{n,r}), \quad j \geq 1 \text{ and } r \leq j0 \quad , \quad j = 0 \text{ or } r > j, \\ D &= [\lambda_2 w_{n,r} k_2(s_{n,i}, s_{n,r})], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

The main advantage of DADM is that the computation of the solution need not to solve nonlinear algebraic system of equations like Nystrom method and projection methods. Another advantage of DADM is that the matrices C and D are unchanged during the computation of components $U_m, m \geq 1$ in equation (12). Also, DADM can be used for solving equation (1) with degenerate or non-degenerate kernels $k_1(t, \tau)$ and $k_2(x, s)$.

3. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Convergence of the Adomian series solution was studied for different problems and by many authors. In ([32] -[33]), convergence was investigated when the method applied to a general functional equations and to specific type of equations in ([34] -[35]). In convergence analysis, Adomian polynomials play a very important role however, these polynomials cannot utilize all the information concerning the obtained successive terms of the series solution, which could affect directly the accuracy as well as the convergence region and the convergence rate.

3.1. Uniqueness Theorem. *Problem (1) has a unique solution whenever $0 < \alpha < 1, \alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 = |\lambda_1| L_1 M_1 T, \alpha_2 = |\lambda_2| L_2 M_2 (b - a)$.*

Proof. see [36]. □

3.2. Convergence Theorem. The series solution (4) of problem (1) using ADM converges if: $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $f(x, t)$ bounded on the interval J .

Proof. see [36]. □

3.3. Error Estimate. The maximum absolute truncation error of the series solution (4) to problem (1) is estimated to be: $\max_{\forall x, t \in J} |u(x, t) - \sum_{i=0}^m u_i(x, t)| \leq \frac{\alpha^m}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{\phi_1 \alpha_1}{L_1} + \frac{\phi_2 \alpha_2}{L_2} \right)$ where $\phi_1 = \max_{\forall x, t \in J} |f_1(u_0)|$ and $\phi_2 = \max_{\forall x, t \in J} |f_2(u_0)|$.

Proof. see [36]. □

3.4. Equivalence between DADM and ADM. Let $D = [a, b]$ and $D^* = [0, T]$ are closed bounded sets in \mathbf{R}^2 , $J = D \times D^*$. Define operators κ and κ^* such that

$$\kappa^* f_1(u) = \int_0^t k_1(t, \tau) f_1(u(x, \tau)) d\tau, \quad t \in D^*, x \in D, u \in C(J), \quad (14a)$$

$$\kappa f_2(u) = \int_D k_2(x, s) f_2(u(s, t)) ds, \quad t \in D^*, x \in D, u \in C(J), \quad (14b)$$

where κ and κ^* are compact bounded operators on $C(J)$ to $C(J)$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa^* f_1(u)\| &\leq \|\kappa^*\| \cdot \|f_1(u)\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\kappa^*\| = \max_{t \in D^*} \int_0^t |k_1(t, \tau)| d\tau, \\ \|\kappa f_2(u)\| &\leq \|\kappa\| \cdot \|f_2(u)\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\kappa\| = \max_{x \in D} \int_D |k_2(x, s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, equation (1) can be written as

$$u = f + \lambda_1 \kappa^* f_1(u) + \lambda_2 \kappa f_2(u),$$

let u be the solution obtained by using ADM, where $u = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m$ and $u_0 = f$.

Define numerical integral operators κ_n and κ_n^* as

$$\kappa_n^* f_1(\tilde{u}(x, t)) = \sum_{r=0}^j w_{n,r} k_1(t, s_{n,r}) f_1(\tilde{u}(x, s_{n,r})), \quad (15a)$$

$$\kappa_n f_2(\tilde{u}(x, t)) = \sum_{r=0}^n w_{n,r} k_2(x, s_{n,r}) f_2(\tilde{u}(s_{n,r}, t)), \quad (15b)$$

where κ_n and κ_n^* are linear finite rank bounded operator on $C(J)$ to $C(J)$ since

$$\|\kappa_n^*\| = \max_{t \in D^*} \sum_{r=0}^j |w_{n,r} k_1(t, s_{n,r})| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\kappa_n\| = \max_{x \in D} \sum_{j=0}^n |w_{n,r} k_2(x, s_{n,r})|$$

With the operators κ_n and κ_n^* , equation (1) can be written as

$$\tilde{u} = f + \lambda_1 \kappa_n^* f_1(\tilde{u}) + \lambda_2 \kappa_n f_2(\tilde{u}),$$

where \tilde{u} here is the solution obtained by using DADM, and $\tilde{u} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_m$ and $\tilde{u}_0 = f$.

Since $\|\kappa_n g - \kappa g\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\kappa_n^* g - \kappa^* g\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $g \in C(J)$ [23]. Then, the solution of equation (1), using DADM converges to the solution of the same equation when using ADM, i.e.

$$\tilde{u} \rightarrow u \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

Proof. Since $u = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_m$, $u_0 = f$, $\tilde{u} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_m$, and $\tilde{u}_0 = f$. Starting with

$$\|\tilde{u} - u\| = \left\| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\tilde{u}_m - u_m) \right\| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|\tilde{u}_m - u_m\|. \quad (16)$$

Since

$$\left\| \tilde{u}_0 - u_0 \right\| = \|f - f\| = 0, \text{ and} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{u}_m - u_m \right\| &= \|(\lambda_1 \kappa_n^* A_{m-1} + \lambda_2 \kappa_n B_{m-1}) - (\lambda_1 \kappa^* A_{m-1} + \lambda_2 \kappa B_{m-1})\| \\ &\leq \lambda_1 \|\kappa_n^* A_{m-1} - \kappa^* A_{m-1}\| + \lambda_2 \|\kappa_n B_{m-1} - \kappa B_{m-1}\| \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

thus $\left\| \tilde{u}_m - u_m \right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, by induction and substituting from equation (17) and equation (18) into inequality (16), this completes the proof. \square

4. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENT

Example (1) Consider the following nonlinear Fredholm Volterra integral equation

$$u(x, t) = f(x, t) + \frac{1}{10} \int_0^t \exp(t^2 + \tau^3) u^2(x, \tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{10} \int_0^1 \exp(x^2 + s^4) u^3(s, t) ds,$$

$f(x, t) = xt + \frac{1}{30} x^2 \exp(t^2) [1 - \exp(t^3)] + \frac{1}{40} t^3 \exp(x^2) [1 - \exp(1)]$ with exact solution $u(x, t) = xt$. In this example the ADM can not be applied because the integral $\int_0^1 \exp(s^4) ds$ has no analytical solution. Here, DADM is the suitable method to obtain solution using equations (8) and (9). Table (1) shows the absolute error $|e_m^n(x, t)| = |u(x, t) - \tilde{u}(x, t)|$ at nodes of the quadrature rule, $n+1$ is number of nodes and m is number of components computed from equation (9).

Table (1) the absolute error of example (1)

t	x	$ e_7^4(x, t) $	t	x	$ e_7^4(x, t) $
0.25	0.25	$8.6521e-9$	0.75	0.25	$5.1963e-8$
	0.50	$2.0034e-8$		0.50	$9.8064e-8$
	0.75	$6.0231e-8$		0.75	$1.9803e-7$
	1.00	$2.6562e-7$		1.00	$8.6432e-7$
0.50	0.25	$3.2846e-8$	1.00	0.25	$9.7771e-8$
	0.50	$5.6684e-8$		0.50	$2.6187e-7$
	0.75	$9.1503e-8$		0.75	$6.3509e-7$
	1.00	$4.3159e-7$		1.00	$1.2695e-6$

Example (2) consider the following nonlinear Fredholm Volterra integral equation

$$u(x, t) = f(x, t) + \frac{1}{10} \int_0^t t\tau u^2(x, \tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{10} \int_0^1 \cos(\exp(s) + x) \exp(u(s, t)) ds,$$

$f(x, t) = x + t^2 + \frac{1}{10} \exp(t^2) [\sin(1+x) - \sin(\exp(1)+x)] + \frac{1}{20} t [x^3 - (x+t^2)^3]$ whose exact solution is $u(x, t) = x+t^2$. In this example the ADM can not be applied because the integral $\int_0^1 \cos[\exp(s) + s] \exp[\sin(s)] ds$ has no analytical solution. Here, DADM is the suitable method to obtain solution using equations (8) and (9). Table (2) shows the absolute error at nodes of the quadrature rule.

Table (2) the absolute error of example (2)

t	x	$ e_8^4(x, t) $	t	x	$ e_8^4(x, t) $
0.25	0.25	$7.4738e-8$	0.75	0.25	$4.0951e-7$
	0.50	$1.8469e-7$		0.50	$8.2409e-7$
	0.75	$5.7642e-7$		0.75	$2.1163e-6$
	1.00	$1.6942e-6$		1.00	$9.6853e-6$
0.50	0.25	$1.5231e-7$	1.00	0.25	$8.8996e-7$
	0.50	$4.3761e-7$		0.50	$2.3301e-6$
	0.75	$8.9357e-7$		0.75	$7.6582e-6$
	1.00	$5.6554e-6$		1.00	$2.3214e-5$

Example (3) Consider the following nonlinear Fredholm Volterra integral equation

$$u(x, t) = f(x, t) + \frac{1}{10} \int_0^t (t - \tau) u^2(x, \tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (x^2 - s) u^2(s, t) ds,$$

$f(x, t) = \frac{t}{120} [t(1 - 2x^2) + 120x(1 - x) - t^3x^2(1 - x)^2]$ with exact solution $u(x, t) = xt(1 - x)$. In this example the ADM can be applied. Also, DADM can be used to obtain solution using equations (8) and (9). Table (3) shows the absolute error at nodes of the quadrature rule.

Table (3) the absolute error of example (3)

t	x	$ e_7^4(x, t) $	t	x	$ e_7^4(x, t) $
0.25	0.25	$9.3245e-8$	0.75	0.25	$6.5621e-7$
	0.50	$1.9654e-7$		0.50	$9.2604e-7$
	0.75	$2.8536e-7$		0.75	$2.4397e-6$
	1.00	$5.6472e-7$		1.00	$6.5371e-6$
0.50	0.25	$2.6210e-7$	1.00	0.25	$9.9768e-7$
	0.50	$4.0821e-7$		0.50	$3.1943e-6$
	0.75	$8.6458e-7$		0.75	$6.3905e-6$
	1.00	$1.9301e-6$		1.00	$1.7654e-5$

5. CONCLUSION

DADM is crucially when the integrals through the classical ADM can not be performed analytically. The main advantage of DADM is that the computation of the solution need not to solve nonlinear algebraic system of equations like Nystrom method or projection methods. Another advantage of DADM is that the matrices C and D are unchanged during the computation of components U_m , $m \geq 1$ in equation (12). Also, DADM can be used for solving equation (1) with degenerate or non-degenerate kernels $k_1(t, \tau)$ and $k_2(x, s)$. Convergence of the technique is discussed and the error is estimated.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.A. Abdou, A.A. Badr and M.B. Soliman, On a method for solving a two-dimensional nonlinear integral equation of the second kind, J. Comput. Appl. Math., Vol. 235, 3589-3598, 2011.

- [2] M.A. Abdou, A.A. Badr and M.M. El-Kojok, On the solution of a mixed nonlinear integral equation, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, Vol. 217, 5466–5475, 2011.
- [3] E. Babolian, S. Bazm and P. Lima, Numerical solution of nonlinear two-dimensional integral equations using rationalized Haar functions, *Commun. Nonlinear. Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, Vol. 16, 1164–1175, 2011.
- [4] G. Han and J. Wang, Extrapolation of Nystrom solution for two dimensional nonlinear Fredholm integral equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 134, 259–268, 2011.
- [5] G. Han and J. Wang, Richardson extrapolation of iterated discrete Galerkin solution for two-dimensional Fredholm integral equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 139, 49–63, 2002.
- [6] G. Adomian, *Stochastic system*, Academic press, 1983.
- [7] G. Adomian, *Solving Frontier problems of Physics: The Decomposition method*, Kluwer, 1995.
- [8] S. Yousefi and M. Razzaghi, Legendre wavelets method for the nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integral equations, *Math. and Computer in Simulation*, Vol. 70, 1–8, 2005.
- [9] H.R. Marzban, H.R. Tabrizidooz and M. Razzaghi, A composite collocation method for the nonlinear mixed Volterra–Fredholm–Hammerstein integral equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, Vol. 16, 1186–1194, 2011.
- [10] I. L. El-Kalla, Convergence of the Adomian method applied to a class of nonlinear integral equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, Vol. 21, 372–376, 2008.
- [11] I.L. El-Kalla, Error estimate of the series solution to a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, Vol. 16, 1408–1413, 2011.
- [12] I.L. El-Kalla, New results on the analytic summation of Adomian series for some classes of differential and integral equations, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, Vol. 217, 3756–3763, 2010.
- [13] N.I. Muskhelishvili, *Some Basic Problems of Mathematical Theory of Elasticity*, Noordhoff, Holland, 1953.
- [14] V.M. Aleksandrov, E.V. Kovalenko and S.M. Makhitarian, On a method of obtaining spectral relationships for integral operators of mixed problems of mechanics of continuous media, *Appl. Math. Mech.*, Vol. 46, No. 6, 825–832, 1983.
- [15] M.A. Abdou, Fredholm–Volterra integral equation with singular kernel, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 137, 231–243, 2003.
- [16] G.R. Miller and L.M. Keer, A numerical technique for the solution of singular integral equations of the second kind, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 42, No. 4 455–466, 1985.
- [17] J.P. Kauthen, Continuous time collocation for Volterra–Fredholm integral equations, *Numeri. Math.*, Vol. 56, 409–424, 1989.
- [18] A. Shidfar, A. Molabahrani, A. Babaei and A. Yazdani, A series solution of the nonlinear Volterra and Fredholm integro-differential equations, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, Vol. 15, 205–215, 2010.
- [19] M.A. Abdou, Khamis I. Mohamed and A.S. Ismail, On the numerical solutions of Fredholm–Volterra integral equation, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, Vol. 146, 713–728, 2003.
- [20] P. Linz, *Analytical and Numerical Methods for Volterra Equations*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1985.
- [21] M.A. Golberg, *Numerical Solution of Integral Equations*, Plenum press, New York, 1990.
- [22] K.E. Atkinson, *A Survey of Numerical Methods for the Solution of Fredholm Integral Equations of the Second Kind*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1976.
- [23] K.E. Atkinson, *The numerical solution of integral equations of the second kind*, Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics, 4, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [24] L.M. Delves and J.L. Mohamed, *Computational Methods for Integral Equations*, Philadelphia, New York, 1985.
- [25] F.G. Tricomi, *Integral Equations*, New York, 1985.
- [26] I. L. El-kalla, Convergence of Adomian’s Method Applied to A Class of Volterra Type Integro-Differential Equations, *Inter. J. of Differential Equations and Appl.*, Vol. 10, No.2, 225–234, 2005.
- [27] I. L. El-kalla, Error analysis of Adomian series solution to a class of nonlinear differential equations, *Applied math. E-Notes*, Vol. 7, 214–221, 2007.

- [28] E. A. Az-Zo'bi and K. Al-Khaled, "A new convergence proof of the Adomian decomposition method for a mixed hyperbolic elliptic system of conservation laws," Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol. 217, No. 8, 4248–4256, 2010.
- [29] S. H. Behiry, R. A. Abd-Elmonem and A. M. Gomaa, Discrete Adomian Decomposition solution of Nonlinear Fredholm Integral Equation, Ain Shams Engineering Journal, Vol. 1, 97-101, 2010.
- [30] A. J. Jerri, Introduction to Integral Equations with Applications, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1999.
- [31] J. Stoer and R. Bulirsch, Introduction to Numerical Analysis, 3rd. Edition, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 2002.
- [32] Y. Cherruault and G. Adomian, Decomposition method: new ideas for proving convergence of decomposition methods, Comput. Math. Appl., Vol. 29, No.7, 103-108, 1995.
- [33] M.M. Hosseini and H. Nasabzadeh, On the convergence of Adomian decomposition method, Appl. Math. Comput., Vol. 182, 536–543, 2006.
- [34] K.Abbaoui and Y.Cherruault, Convergence of Adomian's method applied to differential equations, Comput. Math. Appl., Vol. 28, No.5, 103-109, 1994.
- [35] R. Rajaram, and M. Najafi, Analytical treatment and convergence of the Adomian decomposition method for a system of coupled damped wave equations, Appl. Math. Comp., Vol. 212, 72–81, 2009.
- [36] I. L. El-kalla, Error Estimates for Series Solutions to a Class of Nonlinear Integral Equations of Mixed Type, J. of Appl. Math. Comput., Vol. 38, No.1, 341-351, 2012.
- [37] A. M. A. El-Sayed, Fractional Order Evolution Equations. Journal of Fractional Calculus, Vol. 7. 1995.
- [38] A. M. A. El-Sayed, E. M. El-Mesiry and H. A. A. El-Saka, On the fractional-order logistic equation, J. Appl. Math. Letters Vol. 20 817-823, 2007.

I. L. EL-KALLA, PHYSICS AND ENGINEERING MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY, P.O. Box 35516, MANSOURS, EGYPT.

E-mail address: al_kalla@mans.edu.eg

R. A. ABD-ELMONEM, PHYSICS AND ENGINEERING MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY, P.O. Box 35516, MANSOURS, EGYPT.

E-mail address: redamm@mans.edu.eg

A. M. GOMAA, PHYSICS AND ENGINEERING MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, MANSOURA UNIVERSITY, P.O. Box 35516, MANSOURS, EGYPT.

E-mail address: a_gomaa@mans.edu.eg