Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications, Vol. 5(2) July 2014, pp. 78-83. ISSN: 2090-5858. http://fcag-egypt.com/Journals/JFCA/

FRACTIONAL CALCULUS OPERATORS INVOLVING GENERALIZED M-SERIES

DHARMENDRA KUMAR SINGH

ABSTRACT. The principal aims of this paper is the study of fractional calculus operators due to Saxena and Kumbhat [7] and generalized M-series [6].

1. INTRODUCTION

H-function. The H function [3] and [1] in terms of Mellin-Barnes type contour integral, is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{p,q}^{m,n}[z] &= H \begin{bmatrix} (a_p, A_p) \\ (b_q, B_q) \end{bmatrix} z \\ &= H_{p,q}^{m,n} \begin{bmatrix} (a_1, A_1), ..., (a_p, A_p) \\ (b_1, B_1), ..., (b_q, B_q) \end{bmatrix} z \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \chi(s) z^s \ ds, \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where

$$\chi(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma(b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{m} \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma(a_j + A_j s)},$$
(2)

 $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \le n \le p, 1 \le m \le q, A_i, B_j \in \mathbb{R}_+, a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} (i = 1, 2, ..., p; j = 1, 2, ..., q) such that

$$A_j(b_j + k) \neq B_j(a_i - l - 1)(k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0; i = 1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, ..., m),$$

where we use the usual notation: $\mathbb{N}_0 = 0, 1, 2, ..., \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ and \mathbb{C} being the complex number field. $L = L_{i\tau\infty}$ is a contour starting at the point $\tau - i\infty$, terminating at the point $\tau + i\infty$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$. Here z may be real or complex but is not equal to zero and an empty product is interpreted as unity. The H-function make sense, if

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_j - \sum_{j=n+1}^{p} A_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_j > 0, |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2}\pi\Omega, z \neq 0$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A33, 33C60, 33E12.

Key words and phrases. H-function, generalized M-series, Saxena and Kumbhat operators. Submitted Dec. 23, 2013. Revised March 5, 2014.

, where

$$\triangle = \sum_{j=1}^{q} B_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p} A_j; \mu = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j + \frac{p-q}{2}.$$

Saxena and Kumbhat Operators. Fractional integral operators involving Fox's H-function where defined and studied by Saxena and Kumbhat [7] in the following form

$$R_{x,r}^{\eta,\alpha}[f(x)] = rx^{-\eta-r\alpha-1} \int_0^x t^\eta (x^r - t^r)^\alpha H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[kU \mid \begin{array}{c} (a_p, A_p) \\ (b_q, B_q) \end{array} \right] f(t)dt \quad (3)$$

and

$$K_{x,r}^{\delta,\alpha}[f(x)] = rx^{\delta} \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-\delta - r\alpha - 1} (t^r - x^r)^{\alpha} H_{p,q}^{m,n} \begin{bmatrix} kV \mid & (a_p, A_p) \\ & (b_q, B_q) \end{bmatrix} f(t) dt, \quad (4)$$

where U and V represent the expressions

$$\left(\frac{t^r}{x^r}\right)^m \left(1 - \frac{t^r}{x^r}\right)^n and \left(\frac{x^r}{t^r}\right)^m \left(1 - \frac{x^r}{t^r}\right)^n$$
,

respectively and r, m, n are positive integers. The condition of the validity of the operators (3) and (4) are given below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} &1 \leq p, q < \infty; p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1; \\ \text{(ii)} &\Re \left[\eta + \left(rm \frac{b_j}{\beta_j} \right) \right] > -q^{-1}; \\ &\Re \left[\alpha + \left(rn \frac{b_j}{\beta_j} \right) \right] > -q^{-1}; \\ &\Re \left[\alpha + \delta + \left(rm \frac{b_j}{\beta_j} \right) \right] > p^{-1}(j = 1, ..., m) \\ &\text{(iii)} f(x) \in L_p(0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where $[L_{p_j}(0;1)]$ denotes the space of Lebesgue integrable functions of n variables exactly with p_j -th power integrable with respect to each of the variables x_j . This is the space with mixed norm and was considered in [8].

Generalized M-series. The generalized M-series function is introduced by Sharma and Renu [6],

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\alpha,\beta} M_q(z) := \sum_{p=0}^{\alpha,\beta} M_q(a_1, ..., a_p; b_1, ..., b_q; z)$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)...(a_p)}{(b_1)...(b_q)} \frac{z^r}{\Gamma(\alpha r + \beta)}.$$
(5)

Here, $(a)_r := \frac{\Gamma(a+r)}{\Gamma(a)}$, $\alpha, \beta \in C$, $\Re(\alpha) > 0$. From the ratio test it is evident that the series in (5) is convergent for all z if $q \ge p$, it is convergent for |z| < 1 if p = q + 1 and divergent if p > q + 1. When p = q + 1 and |z| = 1 the series can converge in some case. Let

$$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^p a_j - \sum_{j=1}^q b_j.$$

It can be shown that when p = q + 1 the series is absolutely convergent for |z| = 1 if $\Re(\beta) < 0$, conditionally convergent for z = -1 if $0 \le \Re(\beta) \le 1$ and divergent for |z| = 1 if $1 \le \Re(\beta)$.

2. Theorems

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Theorem \ 1 \ If \ } & \alpha, \beta \in C, \Re(\alpha) > 0f(x) \in L_p(0,\infty), 1 \le p \le 2 \text{ or } [f(x) \in M_p(0,\infty) \text{ and } p > 2], |\arg(k)| < \frac{1}{2}\pi\Omega, \Omega > 0, \Re \left[\eta + \left(rm \frac{b_j}{\beta_j} \right) \right] > -q^{-1}, \Re \left[\alpha + \left(rn \frac{b_j}{\beta_j} \right) \right] > \\ -q^{-1}, \Re \left[\alpha + \delta + \left(rm \frac{b_j}{\beta_j} \right) \right] > p^{-1}(j = 1, ..., m) \text{ and } p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1, \text{ then} \\ & R_{x,r}^{\eta,\alpha} [{}_{l}^{\alpha,\beta} M_m(a_1, ..., a_l; b_1, ..., b_m; x)] \\ &= {}_{l} M_m^{\alpha,\beta}(x) H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \left[k \mid_{(b_Q,B_Q),(-\alpha, -\frac{\eta}{r} - \frac{k}{r} - \frac{1}{r}, m+n)}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \right], \end{aligned}$ (6)

where $l \leq m+1$.

Proof. With equation (1), (3) and (5), we have

$$R_{x,r}^{\eta,\alpha}[{}_{l}^{\alpha,\beta}M_{m}(a_{1},...,a_{l};b_{1},...,b_{m};x)] = rx^{-\eta-r\alpha-1} \int_{0}^{x} t^{\eta}(x^{r}-t^{r})^{\alpha} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L} \chi(s)(kU)^{s} ds \times {}_{l}M_{m}^{\alpha,\beta}(a_{1},...,a_{l};b_{1},...,b_{m};t) dt.$$
(7)

Changing the order of the integration valid under the condition given with the theorem, we obtain

$$= rx^{-\eta - r\alpha - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k, ..., (a_l)_k}{(b_1)_k, ..., (b_m)_k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \chi(s) k^s \times x^{r\alpha - rms} \left\{ \int_0^x \left(1 - \frac{t^r}{x^r}\right)^{\alpha + ns} t^{\eta + k + rms} dt \right\} ds.$$
(8)

Put $\frac{t^r}{x^r} = u$ then $t = xu^{\frac{1}{r}}$ in (8) and using beta function formula defined as

$$B(m,n) = \frac{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(m+n)} = \int_0^1 (1-x)^{m-1} x^{n-1} dx,$$
(9)

where m and n are positive i.e., m > 0, n > 0. We arrive at the desired result

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k, \dots, (a_l)_k}{(b_1)_k, \dots, (b_m)_k} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \chi(s) \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+ns)\Gamma((\eta+k+1)\frac{1}{r}+ms)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha+ns+(\eta+k+1)\frac{1}{r}+ms)} k^s ds.$$
(10)

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Theorem 2 If } \alpha, \beta \in C, \Re(\alpha) > 0 f(x) \in L_p(0,\infty), 1 \le p \le 2 \text{ or } [f(x) \in M_p(0,\infty) \\ \text{and } p > 2], \ |\arg(k)| < \frac{1}{2}\pi\Omega, \Omega > 0, \Re\left[\eta + \left(rm\frac{b_j}{\beta_j}\right)\right] > -q^{-1}, \Re\left[\alpha + \left(rn\frac{b_j}{\beta_j}\right)\right] > \\ -q^{-1}, \Re\left[\alpha + \delta + \left(rm\frac{b_j}{\beta_j}\right)\right] > p^{-1}(j = 1, ..., m) \text{ and } p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1, \text{ then} \\ k_{x,r}^{\delta,\alpha}[{}_{l}^{\alpha,\beta}(a_1, ..., a_l; b_1, ..., b_m; x)] \\ = {}_{l}^{\alpha,\beta}M_n(x)H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2}\left[k \mid_{(b_{\Omega}, B_{\Omega}), (k/r - \delta/r - \alpha, m+n)}^{(\alpha,n,N)}\right], \end{aligned}$ (11)

80

where
$$l \leq m+1$$
.
Proof. Using (1), (4) and (5), we get
 $K_{x,r}^{\delta,\alpha}[\iota_{M_m}^{\alpha,\beta}(a_1,...,a_l;b_1,...,b_m;x)] = rx^{\delta} \int_x^{\infty} t^{-\delta-r\alpha-1}(t^r-x^r)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \chi(s)(kV)^s ds$

$$\times_l \overset{\alpha,\beta}{M}_m(a_1,...,a_l;b_1,...,b_m;t) dt.$$
(12)

Changing the order of integration of (12) which is valid under the above stated conditions, we have the left side of (12) as

$$= rx^{\delta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_l)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \chi(s) k^s$$
$$\times x^{rms} \left\{ \int_x^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^r}{t^r}\right)^{\alpha + ns} t^{-\delta - rms + k - 1} dt \right\} ds.$$
(13)

Put $\frac{x^r}{t^r} = u$ then $t = \frac{x}{u^{\frac{1}{r}}}$ in above expression and using (9), we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_l)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_m)_k} \frac{x^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}$$
$$\times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \chi(s) \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+ns)\Gamma(\delta/r-k/r+ms)}{\Gamma(\delta/r-k/r+\alpha+1+(n+m)s)} k^s ds.$$
(14)

Which is the required result.

3. Special Cases

where $p \leq q + 1$ and the ${}_{1}M_{1}(\rho; 1; x)$ on the right is known as generalized Mittag-Leffer function, introduced by Prabhakar [9] and Studied by Kilbas et al ([2] p.45). **2.** For l=m=0 in (6) and (11), we obtain the following interesting result for Mittag-Leffer function (see [2], [5])defined by

$$R_{x,r}^{\eta,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha,\beta\\ 0M_0(-;-;z) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^r}{\Gamma(\alpha r+\beta)} H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \begin{bmatrix} k \mid (a_P,A_P), (-\alpha,n), (1-(\eta/r+k/r+1/r,m))\\ (b_Q,B_Q), (-\alpha-\eta/r-k/r-1/r,m+n) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(17)

$$K_{x,r}^{\delta,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha,\beta \\ 0M_0(-;-;x) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^r}{\Gamma(\alpha r+\beta)} H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \begin{bmatrix} k \mid (a_P,A_P), (-\alpha,n), (1-\delta/r+k/r,m) \\ (b_Q,B_Q), (k/r-\delta/r-\alpha,m+n). \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (18)

where
$$p \leq q + 1$$
.
3. If we set $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1$ in (6) and (11), we get
 $R_{x,r}^{\eta,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 1,1\\ lM_m(a_1,...,a_p;b_1,...,b_q;z) \end{bmatrix}$
 $=_p F_q(a_1,...,a_p;b_1,...,b_q;z) H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \begin{bmatrix} k \mid (a_P,A_P), (-\alpha,n), (1-(\eta/r+k/r+1/r),m) \\ (b_Q,B_Q), (-\alpha-\eta/r-k/r-1/r,m+n) \end{bmatrix}$
(19)
 $K_{x,r}^{\delta,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} 1,1\\ lM_m(a_1,...,a_p;b_1,...,b_q;z) \end{bmatrix}$
 $=_p F_q(a_1,...,a_p;b_1,...,b_q;z) H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \begin{bmatrix} k \mid (a_P,A_P), (-\alpha,n), (1-\delta/r+k/r,m) \\ (b_Q,B_Q), (k/r-\delta/r-\alpha,m+n). \end{bmatrix}$,
(20)

where pF_q is generalized hypergeometric function and $p \leq q + 1$.

4. In equation (6) and (11) generalized M-series can be represented as a special case of the Wright generalized hypergeometric function ${}_{p}\Psi_{q}(z)$ (for its definition see e. g.[2], p. 56 (1. 11. 14) also[4] and [10])

$$R_{x,r}^{\eta,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha,\beta \\ l M_m(a_1,...,a_p;b_1,...,b_q;z) \end{bmatrix}^{r_1r_2r_2r_3r_3} = p_{+1} \Psi_{q+1} \begin{bmatrix} z \mid (a_1,1),...,(a_p,1),(1,1) \\ (b_1,1),...,(b_q,1),(\alpha,\beta) \end{bmatrix} \times H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \begin{bmatrix} k \mid (a_P,A_P),(-\alpha,n),(1-(\eta/r+k/r+1/r),m) \\ (b_Q,B_Q),(-\alpha-\eta/r-k/r-1/r,m+n) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(21)
$$K_{x,r}^{\delta,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha,\beta \\ l M_m(a_1,...,a_p;b_1,...,b_q;z) \end{bmatrix} = p_{+1} \Psi_{q+1} \begin{bmatrix} z \mid (a_1,1),...,(a_p,1),(1,1) \\ (b_1,1),...,(b_q,1),(\alpha,\beta) \end{bmatrix} \times H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \begin{bmatrix} k \mid (a_P,A_P),(-\alpha,n),(1-\delta/r+k/r,m) \\ (b_Q,B_Q),(k/r-\delta/r-\alpha,m+n). \end{bmatrix} .$$
(22)

or equations (6) and (11) can be written in the following form $R_{x,r}^{\eta,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} a,\beta \\ l M_m(a_1,...,a_p;b_1,...,b_q;x) \end{bmatrix}$

$$= \kappa H_{P+1,Q+2}^{1,P+1} \left[-x \mid (1 - \alpha_j, 1, 1)_1^p, (0, 1) \\ (0, 1), (1 - \beta_j, 1)_1^q (1 - \beta, \alpha) \right].$$

$$\times H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \left[k \mid (a_P, A_P), (-\alpha, n), (1 - (\eta/r + k/r + 1/r), m) \\ (b_Q, B_Q), (-\alpha - \eta/r - k/r - 1/r, m + n) \right].$$
(23)

$$K_{x,r}^{\delta,\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha,\beta \\ M_m(a_1,...,a_p;b_1,...,b_q;z) \end{bmatrix} = \kappa H_{P+1,Q+2}^{1,P+1} \begin{bmatrix} -x \mid (1-\alpha_j,1,1)_1^p,(0,1) \\ (0,1),(1-\beta_j,1)_1^q(1-\beta,\alpha) \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\times H_{P+2,Q+1}^{M,N+2} \begin{bmatrix} k \mid (a_P,A_P),(-\alpha,n),(1-\delta/r+k/r,m) \\ (b_Q,B_Q),(k/r-\delta/r-\alpha,m+n) \end{bmatrix},$$
(24)

where $\kappa = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma(a_j)}$ and $p \le q+1$.

References

- A. M. Mathai, R. K. Saxena, The H-function with Applications in Statistics and other discipline, Halsred Press, Wiely Eastran Limited, New Delhi: Wiley, New York 1978.
- [2] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Euations, Elsevier, North Holland Math. Studies 204. Amsterdam, 2006.
- [3] C. Fox, The G and H-function as symmetrical Fourier Kernals, Trans. Aner. Math. Soc. 98, 395-429, 1961.
- [4] E. M. Wright, J. London Math. Soc. 10, 287-293, 1935.
- [5] I. Podlubny. Fractional Differential Equations, Acad. Press, San Diegon, New York etc 1999.
- [6] M. Sharma and Renu Jain, A notes on generalized M-Series as a special function of fractional calculus, Fract. Calc. appl. Anal. 4, no. 12, 449-452, 2009.
- [7] R. K. Saxena, R. K. Kumbhat, Integral operators involving H-function, Indian J. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 5, 1-6, 1974.
- [8] S. Samko, A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, Integrals and derivatives of fractional order and some of their applications (in Russian), Nauka i Technika, Minsk, 1987.
- [9] T. R. Prabhakar, A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, Yokohama Math. J. 19, 7-15, 1971.
- [10] V. Kriyakova, Some Special functions related to fractional calculus and fractional(noninteger)order control system and equations. Facta Univ. (Sci. J. of Univ. Nsi.), Ser. Automatic Control and Robotics, 7, No. 1, 79-98, 2008..

Dharmendra Kumar Singh

Department of Mathematics

UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

C. S. J. M. UNIVERSITY, KANPUR

(UP)India

E-mail address: drdksinghabp@gmail.com